



Interactive comment on “Near equipment magnetic field verification and scaling” by M. A. Pudney et al.

M. A. Pudney et al.

maxsim.pudney06@imperial.ac.uk

Received and published: 23 October 2013

We would like to thank the two Anonymous Referees for their valuable comments about our paper.

In response to the comments by Anonymous Referee #1, we agree about the change to the title to include a reference to its application to space missions and have suggested an ammendment. We also agree that the concepts of verification and break distance can be more explicitly defined, and have made changes to the text accordingly (Introduction: Paragraphs 3 and 8).

In response to the comments by Anonymous Referee #2 regarding the statistical out-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



liers, we have added additional information to discuss the source of these outliers within the context of the model (Results: Paragraph 3).

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 3, 437, 2013.

GID

3, C185–C186, 2013

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

