



Interactive comment on “A double-station meteor camera setup in the Canary Islands – CILBO” by D. Koschny et al.

D. Koschny et al.

dettef.koschny@esa.int

Received and published: 8 November 2013

1. We acknowledge that we will follow the reviewers advise to focus more on the details of the system
2. We accept the statement that we over-simplified the interpretation of the F-factor. We will provide a histogram showing the F-factor of the Geminids and Sporadics, plus tables with mean values and standard deviations. We will compare them to literature values without any conclusions on the parent body.
3. We will add information on how the F-value was determined; it is indeed based on the integrated 'sum' image. We presented it at the ACM 2012. We'll give references.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



4. We will quantify better Field-of-View of the systems and the pixel scales, frame rate, bit depth.
5. We will provide information on the spectral response of the system.
6. We will add information on how the detection software determines the magnitude.
7. We will add information on how the flux is determined and clarify the mentioned ambiguity.

As for the additional minor comments:

- All of them are good and will be taken into account. Thanks also for the positive feedback!
- Just one: 'Sky temperature' = 'wind temperature' - no, we use the Boltwood Cloud Sensor. It measures the sky temperature via a bolometer. We'll add a sentence to clarify this.

More to come next week... Detlef.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.geosci-instrum-method-data-syst-discuss.net/3/C192/2013/gid-3-C192-2013-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 3, 489, 2013.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

