

1 ***Final author comments on “Interpretation of Cluster WBD***
2 ***frequency conversion mode data” by J. S. Pickett et al.***

3

4 **J. S. Pickett et al.**

5 pickett@uiowa.edu

6

7 Response to Ondrej Santolik (Referee)

8

9 We thank Dr. Santolik for his thoughtful comments as a referee of our paper and address his
10 suggested minor technical corrections below.

11 Response to Specific Comments:

12 1. page 556, lines 21-23: units “(a.u.)” for amplitudes are missing

13 Response: The units of “a.u.” will be added in two places as suggested.

14 2. Caption of Fig 2: “January2010” -> missing space between January and 2010.

15 Response: The space will be added as suggested.

16 3. References: As in my Access Review I still suggest the authors and the Editor to consider
17 including the report of Swanner et al., 2006 as supplementary material published with this
18 paper (or to publish it as a separate paper), and ensure thus its future accessibility. Swanner et
19 al., 2006 is an important reference for this paper and it is currently published on two data
20 archiving websites (on the University of Iowa site and on the ESA CAA site). It would make
21 more sense to me if it becomes a part of the public literature.

22 Response: As suggested, we will include the Swanner et al., 2006 report as
23 supplementary material in the revision of this paper.

24

25 Response to Anonymous Referee #2

26

1 We thank the referee for his/her positive comments regarding our paper and for the
2 suggestions to improve the paper. These suggestions were already incorporated in the paper
3 published online for discussion. Below we provide the referee's comments followed by our
4 responses. Our references to Page and Line numbers are given for the Discussion paper
5 published online.

6

7 1. By considering the study result in this paper, we can infer some candidates of the original
8 waveform from the down converted waveforms. However, it is very difficult to determine the
9 unique waveform only by seeing the output waveform after the down conversion. The authors
10 should stress this in the text. This is the limit of the waveform receiver using the down
11 conversion.

12 Response: Your point is well taken. We added some sentences with regard to the
13 receiver's limitations to the end of the abstract (P. 548, L. 25-26) and the end of the
14 manuscript (P. 559, L. 25 through P. 560, L. 2).

15

16 2. The results shown in Figure 3 (a) and (b) show that a mono pulse appears after the down
17 conversion of a wave packet consisting of several sinusoidal waves. The pulse width is almost
18 equal to the envelope width of the wave packet. Then, the simulation in Figure 5(a) should
19 use a wave packet as an original waveform. However, the authors setup the mono-pulse
20 waveform before the down conversion. I don't understand the reason why the authors use the
21 mono-pulse waveform instead of the wave packet in section 3.

22 Response: We have chosen to show the mono-pulse in Figure 5(a), as opposed to the
23 wave packet, since it is the mono-pulse type of waveform that is seen most often in the WBD
24 waveforms obtained without conversion and we expect this would be the case with
25 conversion mode data even with the shorter time scales, which are consistent with electron
26 associated processes. This explanation was added on P. 556, L. 24-28.

27

28 3. The paper is more important for scientists who are not so familiar with plasma wave
29 receivers or data processing of plasma waves. Then I recommend to describe the frequency
30 down conversion method more detailed by using some illustrations to help their
31 understanding.

1 Response: We described the down conversion method in more detail on P. 549 L. 22
2 through P. 550, L. 2, and included a new figure (Figure1) to illustrate the method.

3

4 Minor point:

5 P10 line 14: Does AAR denote “Aurora acceleration region ?”

6 Response: It denotes Auroral Acceleration Region. It has been spelled out now,
7 instead of using the acronym, on P. 559 L. 13.

8

9 Other Comments

10 Since first submitting this paper for review, certain details about the Cluster Active Archive
11 (CAA) and Cluster Final Archive (CFA) were still unknown, which were referenced in our
12 paper. Since then, we have been given these details, such as when the CAA would close and
13 the CFA would open. The CAA will close in early 2014 and the CFA will be known
14 officially as the Cluster Science Archive (CSA). Thus, the revision of our paper will include
15 changes related to this, as well as the URL link to the CSA.

16