Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 3, C43–C45, 2013 www.geosci-instrum-method-data-syst-discuss.net/3/C43/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.





Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Optimal design of a climatological network: beyond practical considerations" *by* G. S. Mauger et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 17 May 2013

General Comments:

The paper addresses a very interesting issue about how to design an optimal environmental observational network. Nowadays the increase of the computational power is creating more high-resolution analysis and forecast for meteorological or climatological purposes, but the number of the stations on the network are not growing at the same speed. Even the number of the grown observing station is decreasing versus remote sensing techniques. This method can help to place new stations and discard the stations were the information is redundant on an observational network.

Although this work shows an interesting approach some points of the paper must be clarified for the final publication. So I suggest proceeding with this interactive discus-





sion to perform minor corrections.

Specific Comments:

I suggest a different structure of the paper to facilitate the reader comprehension.

The Section 2 "Background: network design" could be removed, moving the first paragraph (Pag 196 Lines 11-24) to the Section 1, and the rest of the contents to de section 3.

The Section 3 "Methods" must be restructured. The section introduces the databases that were used to study an optimal design a climatological network over the Pacific Northwest. One table with the type, resolution and years used to perform this work, and other with the information of the stations included in the analysis could be introduced for clarity.

The method to take into account the measurement error must be clarified. The relationship between the errors obtained in de ECMWF for the analysis procedure on IFS model initialization is not clear for the networks that were used on this work. If the IFS T1279 model has been used for this estimation, the subgrid scale representativeness it responds to the errors below 16 km approximately, and PRISM database resolution is 4 km. So it is not clear that the errors have been represented correctly. This affirmation is confirmed by the authors on Section 4 (Pag 206Lines 14-16) and in paper Conclusions (Pag 209 Lines 1-4).

Although sensibility test have been performed and the results have showed strong sensitivity to R2 (Section 4 Pag 207 Lines 27-30 Pag 208 Lines1-14), the error source has been chosen from ECMWF arbitrarily, with not a clear relation with resolution and quality of the data bases used during this study. A better justification of the use of ECMWF estimation must be introduced.

The results show that "high spatial autocorrelation across the region (in particular annual at annual time scales), the first station explains a majority of the variance Top **GID** 3, C43–C45, 2013

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



3 stations in temperature and top 2 in precipitation are sufficient to 95 % of variance" (Section 4 Pag 205 ines 14 -20). This suggests that little information is added with new station. The use of the variance as the way of the regionally averaged annual Temperature and Precipitation are well represented by the network only allows obtaining information that you can obtain of the observational data. The use of other metrics (J) can create a more realistic network for general uses and the observational network seams more valuable. It could be interesting that the authors comment how this methodology can solve the allocation of stations for extreme values, model initialization or other uses that are a clear interest for the scientific community.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 3, 193, 2013.

GID

3, C43-C45, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

