

Interactive comment on “Does temperature affect the accuracy of vented pressure transducer in fine-scale water level measurement?” by Z. Liu and C. W. Higgins

Z. Liu and C. W. Higgins

ziru.liu@oregonstate.edu

Received and published: 9 January 2015

First of all I would like to take this opportunity to thank the reviewer for providing us with these comments. The idea to develop this paper came from our experiments to monitor surface runoff at field scale. During the experiment I noticed that the ambient temperature has a strong impact on the accuracy of the pressure transducers which were used to measure the water level change in fine scale. As I looked deeper into the previous publications and studies related to this issue, especially in hydrological research, I found previous report may not necessarily be true. So a laboratory exam-

C261

ination was conducted and field observation was discussed in this paper to provide more information and insights.

I agree with the reviewer that there are many factors affecting the performance of the pressure transducer, especially in field deployment. A well controlled laboratory test for each of these factors will certainly be the best way to characterize this problem. This is a very good suggestion and will be my next effort as a continuation to this paper.

I used an off-the-rack water level sensor where the pressure transducer is fixed in a casing and the venting tube with fixed length is attached. Without disassembling it, I can only use the measured water temperature as a surrogate for the actual temperature of the strain gauge itself. For a better calibration, using strain gauge temperature instead of water temperature will be more accurate. Under field conditions, I consider the thermal effect on the strain gauge from all the environmental factors to be a "comprehensive effect" which is reflected in the water temperature. But since this is a submersible type sensor and the strain gauge is directly contacted with water, the water temperature should be a reasonable approximation.

As for the reviewers' comment about whether this paper leads to a useful outcome or not, the authors think there are useful information can be drawn from this study. We provided a way to look at the error induced by the thermal gradient and this can be used to correct the pressure transducer reading if the water temperature is also measured. There are many brands for water level sensors in the market and the sellers likely purchase their strain gauges from multiple source. The point is that if the thermal compensation is done poorly at step one, then the final measurement accuracy will be compromised. We agree with the reviewer that this work may only apply to the brand tested here but the idea and the mathematical deduction performed can be easily adopted for the testing of other brands. Also the problems we reported in the cold weather field experiment can be avoided in other future studied.

We revised the text where the reviewer indicated. In line 27 at page 546, we deleted

C262

"Extra attention needs to be paid to the performance of the transducer as well as the its safety." due to its redundancy.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.geosci-instrum-method-data-syst-discuss.net/4/C261/2015/gid-4-C261-2015-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 4, 533, 2014.