

Interactive comment on “Protection against lightning on the geomagnetic observatory” by R. Čop et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 12 May 2014

Unfortunately, the paper, though very interesting and useful for observatory practice, has many errors and places necessary to be corrected. First of all, the section 1 has to be either re-written completely or simply shortened to one paragraph in the next section. This is because of very poor English and multiple repetitions, some of them word-by-word (e.g., at bottom of pages 215 and 217). Both formulae at p. 218 and 221 are without explications, by this the first one is not used in the text and has to be cancelled. Fig.2 is no necessary for the paper, enough to refer at corresponding site. Fig.1 and both tables need explications. At p.217, line 16 - given delay interval is very doubtful. Summarizing, the topic is very important, but the quality of description is poor, the paper needs major revision, then may be refereed again.

C33

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 4, 213, 2014.

C34