



Interactive comment on “Comparison between manual scaling and Autoscala automatic scaling applied to Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory ionograms” by C.-F. Enell et al.

C.-F. Enell et al.

carl-fredrik.enell@eiscat.se

Received and published: 7 January 2016

We thank the reviewer for useful comments on activity and for spotting the outstanding errors!

Replies to the comments follow:

1. This is a very relevant point. We will include a discussion of activity from magnetic indices and / or from the SGO measurements themselves.
2. Last row of Table 3 was missing. We have inserted it again. It should read

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



K 79 53 0.0 ± 1.1 0.3 0.3 0.6 9.5 ± 8.8 8.9 -15.4 -1.6

3. Missing word (“of”) inserted on line 178.

An updated manuscript will be submitted after the review period.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., 5, 519, 2015.

GID

5, C139–C140, 2016

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

