

Interactive comment on “Magnetic Airborne Survey – Geophysical Flight” by E. Camara and S. N. P. Guimaraes

J. Lyrio (Referee)

jlyrio@petrobras.com.br

Received and published: 1 April 2016

Dear Authors, I believe the review is made by two independent reviewers and I don't know what happens if the other reviewer has different opinion. Anyway, as I wrote before, my opinion is that it would be better if you restart the manuscript from beginning and submit it as a new manuscript. For sure, both the nature and the public a paper is trying to reach are relevant subjects and I agree that a paper that intends to reach beginning readers does not need to be neither complex nor deep, but it is imperative to the paper to be correct and clear, and your paper has failed to achieve such objectives. If the descriptions and explanations in your paper are not clear even for someone like me that is quite familiar with the subject, imagine for someone that has never heard about it. Finally I would like to say that I respect the opinions of all the other colleagues, including those mentioned by you, but in this case I have to disagree with

C1

them. Sincerely, Julio Lyrio

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., doi:10.5194/gi-2015-45, 2016.