

Interactive comment on “Few years’ experience with Automatic DIFlux systems: theory, validation and results” by Antoine Poncelet et al.

Antoine Poncelet et al.

antoine.poncelet@meteo.be

Received and published: 7 June 2017

First of all thanks for this detailed review with lots of interesting remarks. I have taken in to account all yours remarks and I only include here some comments on things I didn't include in the new paper and the reasons why.

Section 2.1. The usage of small angles approximations is used for the readability of the equations in the paper. Otherwise, we will have equations which are unreadable. Section 5 It was not the purpose of this article but we are always looking to improve and enhance the instrument.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.geosci-instrum-method-data-syst-discuss.net/gi-2017-20/gi-2017-20-AC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-20>, 2017.

GID

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

