

Interactive comment on “USGS Experience with the Residual Absolutes Method” by E. William Worthington and Jurgen Matzka

E. William Worthington and Jurgen Matzka

bworth@usgs.gov

Received and published: 14 July 2017

The first correction was made. The second was not. He probably mis-understood the sentence.

Discussion

Page 5: It turns out that this is actually very small except in cases where F and I are not measured simultaneously. We have left it in for completeness. A further explanation has been added to the text.

Page 7: This comment was addressed with Figure 1 and further explanation in the text.

Page 6: Thus was addressed with a further explanation.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



Page 9: We chose not to change the terminology at this point. A graph, demonstrating the utility of the diagnostic parameters, was added in Figure 2.

The two comments for section 4.0 were both added to the text.

The original Figure 1, is now Figure 3.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-24>, 2017.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)






Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.