Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems
Open Access
Discussions

Technical note: A low-cost albedometer for snow and ice measurements – Theoretical results and application on a tropical mountain in Bolivia

Thomas Condom[1*], Marie Dumont[2], Lise Mourre[1], Jean Emmanuel Sicart[1], Antoine Rabatel[1], Alessandra Viani[1], Alvaro Soruco[3]

[1] Université de Grenoble Alpes, IRD, CNRS, Grenoble-INP, IGE (UMR5001), F-38000 Grenoble, France

[2] Météo-France, CNRS, CNRM-GAME/CEN (UMR3589), Grenoble, France

[3] UMSA, Instituto de Geológicas y del Medio Ambiente, La Paz, Bolivia

*Corresponding author: thomas.condom@ird.fr

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access

Abstract

This study presents a new instrument called a low-cost albedometer (LCA) composed of two illuminance sensors that are used to measure *in-situ* incident and reflected illuminance values on a daily timescale. The ratio between reflected *vs.* incident illuminances is called the *albedo index* and can be compared with actual albedo values. Due to the shape of the sensor, the direct radiation for zenith angles ranging from 55° to 90° is not measured. The spectral response of the LCA varies with the solar irradiance wavelengths within the range 0.26 to 1.195 µm, and the LCA detects 85% of the total spectral solar irradiance for clear sky conditions. We first consider the theoretical results obtained for 10 different ice and snow surfaces with clear sky and cloudy sky incident solar irradiance that show that the LCA spectral response may be responsible for an overestimation of the theoretical albedo values by roughly 9% at most. Then, the LCA values are compared with two “classical” albedometers over a one-year measurement period (2013) for two sites in a tropical mountainous catchment in Bolivia. One site is located on the Zongo Glacier (i.e. snow and ice surfaces) and the second one is found on the right-hand side lateral moraine (bare soil and snow surfaces). The results, at daily time steps (256 days), given by the LCA are in good agreement with the classic albedo measurements taken with pyranometers with R^2 = 0.83 (RMSD = 0.10) and R^2 = 0.92 (RMSD = 0.08) for the Zongo Glacier and the right-hand side lateral moraine, respectively. This demonstrates that our system performs well and thus provides relevant opportunities to document spatio-temporal changes in the surface albedo from direct observations at the scale of an entire catchment at a low cost. Finally, during the period from September 2015 to June 2016, direct observations were collected with 15 LCAs on the Zongo Glacier and successfully compared with LANDSAT images showing the surface state of the glacier (i.e. snow

or ice). This comparison illustrates the efficiency of this system to monitor the daily time step changes in the snow/ice coverage distributed on the glacier.

Keywords: Snow; Ice; Albedo; Glacier, Bolivia

1-Introduction

Albedo is a key variable controlling the surface energy balance through the shortwave radiation budget. Documenting the spatio-temporal changes of this variable is a major concern in hydrological modeling particularly in mountainous regions where the seasonal snow and glacier covers induce significant and rapid changes in the surface state with subsequent impacts on the energy budget. Hereafter, the spectral albedo is defined as the ratio between the amount of energy reflected by the surface and the incident energy for each wavelength of the solar spectrum (between 0.3 and 2.5 µm); and the broadband albedo is the integration of the spectral albedo weighted by the incident energy over the entire solar spectrum (0.3-2.5 µm). The amount of shortwave radiation absorbed by the surface depends on the spectral and angular distribution of the incident shortwave radiation and the surface characteristics, both of which are highly variable in space and time (Stroeve *et al.*, 1997; Klok *et al.*, 2003). Clouds alter the angular and spectral properties of the incident radiation. With respect to the snow and ice surfaces, the albedo in the visible wavelength depends on the snow and ice properties, the impurity amount (e.g. black carbon, dust, algae, etc.) and the snow depth for the shallow snowpack. In the infrared portion of the spectrum, the albedo is mainly controlled by the snow microstructure and is moderately sensitive to the solar zenith angle (Warren, 1982). Liquid water and land have relatively low albedos (roughly 0.1 to 0.4) while snow and ice have higher albedos that typically can reach 0.9 for fresh snow. It is still challenging to measure the temporal and spatial changes in the surface albedo from the scale of specific points up to a regional scale. Different methods are commonly used to retrieve albedo values from satellite images, ground photographs or point measurements with pyranometers. Satellite-derived albedo maps provide spatially continuous datasets but are limited to clear sky conditions; these maps may contain significant uncertainties, especially over complex topographies (Stroeve *et al.*, 1997; Klok *et al.*, 2003; Dumont *et al.*, 2012), and provide averaged data over a pixel size of hundreds of square meters. Ground photography using pairs of photographs in the visible and infrared wavelengths is used to collect albedo maps that have a higher spatial resolution than satellite images but which are limited by cloudy conditions, the possible masking of the relief, an irregular grid due to the projection and more complex ortho-rectification processes in mountainous regions (e.g. Corripio, 2004; Dumont *et al.*, 2011). Finally, direct *in situ* snow and ice albedo measurements are sparse, relatively expensive, often discontinuous and may contain large uncertainties if the sensor is not regularly checked (Sicart *et al.*, 2001, van den Broeke *et al.*, 2004).

This article analyzes the efficiency of a low-cost albedometer (hereafter called LCA) that measures the time series of *in-situ* incident and reflected illuminance values which are used to calculate an accurate proxy of the albedo values called the *albedo index*. The illuminance is the total luminous flux incident on a surface, per unit area. It is a measure of how much the incident light illuminates the surface, wavelength-weighted by the luminosity function to correlate with the human perception of brightness. In section 2, we present the characteristics of and uncertainties on the LCA measurements along with a comparison with the theoretical values for 10 different ice and snow states and for two different incident irradiance spectra (cloudy or clear sky). Then, section 3 presents two experiments carried out on a high-altitude tropical mountain site in Bolivia (Zongo glacierized catchment). A first application for punctual *in situ* measurements validates the LCA in the field via a comparison with classical radiometers for two contrasting surfaces: snow/ice on the glacier or snow/bare soil on the moraine. After that, a second application on the same glacier documents the snow/ice changes on the surface of the glacier during the period that extends from September 2015 to June 2016.

2- LCA description and evaluation with theoretical albedo values for snow and ice surfaces

The LCA is comprised of two Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Loggers: one for the incident illuminance and the other for the reflected illuminance (Fig. 1). The sensor characteristics are given in Table 1. This sensor measures the illuminance in lux and the measurement range is between 0 and 320,000 lux. The lux quantifies the light incident flux per unit area. One lux equals one lumen per square meter with a uniform distribution. In photometry, this unit is used as a measure of the intensity of the light hitting or passing through a surface as perceived by the human eye. The illuminance may be related to an energy quantified in watts per square meter (W/m^2), but the conversion factor differs depending on the wavelength considered according to the luminosity function, a standardized model of the human visual perception of brightness. As a consequence, the illuminance depends on the spectral distribution of the incident light.



***Figure 1**: A) Meteorological station on the Zongo Glacier; B) CNR1 radiometer (Kipp & Zonen) installed at the SAMA meteorological station (the CM3 pyranometers are the two sensors on the right, red arrows) and the LCA comprised of two Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Loggers (black arrows); C) Zoom on a Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger (see Table 1 for detailed characteristics).*

The spectral range of the Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger is 0.3 to 1.195 µm (see Fig. 2). The spectral response of the sensor represents the amount of incoming signal recorded by the sensor for any given wavelength and is reported in Figure 2. Figure 2 shows that the spectral response of the sensor increases from 20 to 100% between 0.26 and 0.915 µm and then decreases until the upper limit of the sensor sensitivity (i.e. 1.195 µm). The sensor detects roughly 85% of the total solar irradiance for clear sky conditions (Fig. 2). Laboratory tests conducted with a goniometer showed that the Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger cannot

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access

measure the irradiance for incident zenith angles ranging from 55° to 90° (+/- 2°, where 0° is the vertical illumination). This is due to the design of the sensor (Fig. 1C). Traditionally, the *in situ* albedo is measured using a CM3 pyranometer (Kipp & Zonen®) in the shortwave domain from 0.305 to 2.800 µm (Fig. 1B). The CM3 is part of the CNR1/CNR4 net radiometer, which is intended for the analysis of the radiation balance of solar and thermal infrared radiation. The design of the CM3 is such that the upward-facing and downward-facing sensors measure the energy received from the entire hemisphere (a field of view of almost 180 degrees). The output is expressed in W/m^2. The CM3 sensor has a 100% response for wavelengths between 0.305 to 2.8 µm (Fig. 2).



Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

***Figure 2**: Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger and CM3 responses as a function of the wavelength and two examples of total solar irradiances for a clear sky in blue and for a cloudy sky in purple given by the DISORT model (Stamnes et al., 1988) ($Wm^{-2}\mu m^{-1}$)*

***Table 1**: Characteristics of the Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger sensor as per the manufacturer*

Measurement	Temperature: -20° to 70°C
Range	Light: 0 to 320,000 lux
Accuracy	Temperature: +/- 0.53°C Light intensity designed for measurement of relative light levels, see Figure 2 for the light wavelength response
Resolution	Temperature: 0.14°C at 25°C
Time accuracy	+/- 1 minute per month at 25°C
Operating range	in air: -20° to 70°C
Battery life	1 year typical use
Memory	64 K bytes
Material	Polypropylene case; stainless steel screws; Buna-N o-ring
Weight	18 g
Dimensions	58 x 33 x 23 mm

It is noteworthy that the LCA contains an internal memory; this is not the case for the CM3 pyranometers, which need to be connected to an external module for data acquisition programming and data storage. The LCA cannot provide direct access to the albedo as its response is not constant depending on the wavelength in the solar spectrum. Finally, the conversion from illuminance to radiation in W/m² is not straightforward since it depends on the spectral repartition of the incident and reflected light.



***Figure 3**: Semi-infinite diffuse beam albedo of pure ice as a function of the effective air bubble radius (mm) with a constant effective bubble concentration $n'_e = 0.3\ mm^{-3}$. Here $0.3\ mm^{-3}$ is the mean bubble concentration determined from 28 Greenland and Antarctica ice core samples (Gardner and Sharp, 2010) - Semi-infinite diffuse beam albedo of dusty and pure snow from DISORT modelling with or without dust and with a specific surface area (SSA) equal to 40 or 5 $m^2\ kg^{-1}$ [Stamnes et al., 1988; Carmagnola et al., 2013]. The dark green line shows the LCA response in %.*

Figure 3 shows 10 simulated spectral albedo curves for different glacier surfaces, four for snow (with dusty or pure snow and with a specific surface area (SSA) equal to 5 or 40 $m^2\ kg^{-1}$) and six for ice with different bubble concentrations (see Gardner and Sharp, 2010 for details). These 10 different surface types are used below to calculate the theoretical uncertainty of the LCA measurements.

In the visible domain, the spectral albedo of pure snow is high (0.95) and the albedo decreases in the infrared towards 0.1 for larger wavelengths (1.5-2 µm) (Fig. 3). For dusty snow, the spectral albedo is lower than for pure snow. To calculate the uncertainty for the ice covers, we chose pure ice that only contains air bubbles and no impurity taken from the study of Gardner and Sharp (2010). In this case, all of the photon absorption events will occur within the ice and all of the scattering will occur at the ice-bubble boundaries, thereby neglecting all surface reflection as well as internal scattering and absorption by the interstitial air (Mullen and Warren, 1988; Warren *et al.*, 2002).

Two types of incident radiations are tested (clear sky and cloudy conditions given by the SBDART model for the tropical Zongo latitude at 5000 m a.s.l., 23° solar zenith angle, 0.1 atmospheric optical depth, (see Richiazzi et al., 1998 for details concerning the model). The cloudy conditions are fully overcast with a cloud optical depth equal to 64.

The theoretical broadband albedo and LCA *albedo indexes* are calculated over the 0.205-3.9 µm range using the theoretical solar irradiance and LCA spectral response from Figure 2 and the semi-infinite diffuse beam albedo from Figure 3. The total incident radiation flux for LCA is obtained by summing the theoretical incident radiation fluxes weighted by the LCA response at each spectral increment of 5 microns, both for cloudy and clear sky conditions. Similarly, the reflected radiation flux for the LCA is obtained by summing the theoretical reflected radiation fluxes weighted by the LCA response at each spectral increment of 5 µm, for each snow or ice class considered. Then, the LCA *albedo index* is the ratio between the reflected and incident LCA radiation fluxes, for each type of snow and ice surface and for cloudy or clear sky conditions. Finally, this LCA *albedo index* is compared with the theoretical broadband albedo when we consider the spectral variations. Note that the results are presented with the incoming radiation corresponding to the total solar irradiances for clear sky

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access

and cloudy sky conditions and without testing the effect of the angular limitation of the LCA.



***Figure 4**: Comparison between the theoretical semi-infinite diffuse beam broadband albedo and albedo index calculated with the LCA for 10 different surfaces calculated with two kind of total solar irradiance; on the right: cloudy sky and on the left: clear sky conditions (spectra are represented in Fig. 2) - 1: Ice air bubble 0.02; 2: Ice air bubble 0.05; 3: Ice air bubble 0.1; 4: Ice air bubble 0.2; 5: Ice air bubble 0.4; 6: Ice air bubble 0.7; 7: dusty snow SSA 5 $m^2\,kg^{-1}$; 8: dusty snow SSA 40 $m^2\,kg^{-1}$; 9: pure snow SSA 5 $m^2\,kg^{-1}$; 10: pure snow SSA 40 $m^2\,kg^{-1}$*

Figure 4 compares the theoretical albedos and the LCA *albedo index* with the theoretical perfect albedo for the 10 surface configurations and for clear and cloudy skies. Slight differences exist for ice with a bubble radius between 0.02 and 0.2 mm with an underestimation of the LCA by 4% for a clear sky. For ice with an air bubble radius of 0.4 or 0.7 mm and for the two snow types (dusty and pure), the LCA tends to overestimate the albedo by 8% in average for clear sky conditions. The LCA tends to overestimate for albedo values higher than 0.5 (typically for snow) and to underestimate for low values (i.e. for ice). A better agreement between the two sensors is given in the cloudy case with an overall underestimation of 5% compared with 9% for the clear sky case. This is explained by the response of the LCA based on the wavelength, which is null for the 1.20-2.30 µm range (see Fig. 2).

3-Applications on a high tropical glacierized catchment in Bolivia

The Zongo Glacier (16°15′S, 68°10′W) is located in the Bolivian Cordillera Real (Fig. 5) between the Altiplano Plateau in the west and the Amazon Basin in the east. In 2006, the glacier covered an area of 1.96 km^2 extending from 6100 to 4900 m a.s.l. (Rabatel *et al.*, 2012). The Bolivian Cordillera Real is located in the outer tropical zone, which forms a transition zone between the tropics (continuously humid conditions) and the subtropics (dry conditions). The climate of the outer tropics is characterized by low seasonal temperature variability, high solar radiation influx all year round and marked seasonal humidity and precipitation. The hydrological year (from September 1st to August 31st) can be divided into three periods: (1) September–December, with a progressive increase in moisture and precipitation; (2) January–April, which is the core period of the rainy season (approximately two-thirds of the total annual precipitation); and (3) May–August, when dry conditions prevail (e.g. Sicart *et al.*, 2011). However, precipitation can also occur during the dry period due to Southern Hemisphere mid-latitude disturbances that track much further north of their usual path (e.g. Vuille and Ammann, 1997; Sicart *et al.*, 2016).

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access



***Figure 5**: Study site with the Zongo Glacier and the location of the meteorological stations: ORE (5050 m a.s.l.) outside of the glacier and SAMA (5056 m a.s.l.) on the glacier. The numbers indicate the position of each in situ LCA on ablation stakes.*

Two contrasting sites with different characteristics were chosen in order to evaluate the efficiency of the LCA (Figure 5). These two sites belong to the GLACIOCLIM observatory (https://glacioclim.osug.fr/) which has maintained a permanent glacio-meteo-hydrological monitoring program on the Zongo Glacier since 1991 (Rabatel *et al.*, 2013). The SAMA station is an automatic weather station (AWS) located on the Zongo Glacier (Figures. 1, 5) and the ORE station is a similar AWS located on the right-hand side lateral moraine. In order to capture the sky view for each station, ORE and SAMA, a digital elevation model (DEM) at 30-m resolution taken from ASTER images (Tachikawa *et al.*, 2011) was used. The sky view factor, which is the fraction of the celestial hemisphere visible from the surface defined by the local slope, was calculated with the SAGA GIS software (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses,

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access

version 2.0.8) using the code provided by Boehner and Antonic (2009). The sky view factors obtained are 0.92 and 0.98 for the SAMA and ORE stations, respectively.

Considering the limited field of view of the Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger, daily albedo values are calculated between 11:00 AM and 3:00 PM local time, ensuring that direct solar irradiance is caught by the two sensors. The *albedo index* is calculated in two steps: (i) the sum of the hourly data for the incident illuminance and the reflected illuminance between 11:00 AM and 3:00 PM; and (ii) the calculation of the daily *albedo index* by dividing the reflected values by the incident illuminance values. The time series used for the ORE and SAMA stations are 07/11/2012-06/03/2013 and 01/12/2012- 9/10/2013 respectively. Figures 6A and 6B show the comparison between the CM3 albedo and LCA *albedo indexes* for the daily values that range between 0.15 (dirty ice or bare soil) and 0.95 (fresh snow).



A



B

***Figure 6**: **A** Comparison of the daily measured albedo at the ORE site using the CNR1 radiometer and the LCA for the period from 07/11/2012-06/03/2013– daily data calculated for the 11 AM – 3 PM time period – ORE; RMSD = 0.1; n = 263. **B** Comparison of the daily measured albedo at the SAMA site on the Zongo Glacier using the CM3 sensor and LCA for the period from 01/12/2012-9/10/2013 – daily data calculated for the 11 AM – 3 PM time period; RMSD = 0.08; n = 256. The red dots are for cloudy conditions and the white dots are for sunny conditions, as per the classification given by Sicart et al. (2016). The regression lines are calculated with all of the data.*

At the ORE site (Figure 6A), two groups of points can be distinguished. The lower group (albedo close to 0.25) corresponds to measurements over bare soil. For the second group, the broadband albedo and *albedo indexes* range from 0.3 to 0.9, corresponding to several snow cover conditions: (i) thin and dirty snow; (ii) homogeneous fresh snow; and (iii) patchy snow covers. There is good agreement between the CM3 broadband albedos and LCA broadband *albedo indexes* (R^2 = 0.90 and RMSD = 0.08, with 256 days). The distribution for the albedos at the SAMA site (Figure 6B) is more homogeneous. For the SAMA site, the albedo variations are due to surface changes from ice to fresh snow. At this second site, there is also good agreement between the CM3 and LCA albedo (R^2 = 0.93 and RMSD = 0.08, with 256 days).

The measurements are separated into two groups according to the sky conditions, cloudy or sunny, as per the classification provided by Sicart et al. (2016). If we consider the theoretical results from section 2, the LCA should give better results for cloudy conditions; however there are not enough measurements for clear sky conditions compared with the number of measurements for cloudy conditions to be able to come to a conclusion. In both cases, the LCA tends to slightly overestimate the albedo values by 5%. This result is in good agreement with the theoretical results presented in Section 2 (Figure 4) showing that the LCA tends to overestimate the theoretical albedo values for ice with bubbles and snow by less than 10%. The results are in good agreement with the theoretical results obtained in section 2, with an overestimation for the high albedos and an underestimation for the low albedos.

After the comparison between the CM3 and LCA, a second field experiment was carried out in order to determine the spatio-temporal variability of the snow cover on the Zongo Glacier during the period from 09/21/2015 to 06/30/2016. Fifteen LCA stations were installed on ablation stakes distributed in the lower and middle part of the glacier at altitudes ranging between 4929 and 5184 m a.s.l. (Figure 5). In order to evaluate whether the LCA provides coherent information on the spatio-temporal changes in the surface state of the glacier (fresh snow, old snow, ice), we compared the LCA data with information retrieved from the LANDSAT images. With regards to the LANDSAT images (30-m resolution), we first selected, within the archive, the cloud free images recorded within the period when the LCA data were available (a list of the 23 images used here is provided in Table 2). On the LANDSAT images, we used a spectral band combination involving the green, near-infrared (NIR) and middle infrared

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access

(MIR) wavelengths (spectral bands # 2, 4 and 5 for LANDSAT images 5 and 7) which is used to make a clear differentiation between snow and ice surfaces (Rabatel *et al.*, 2012). Then, according to the values in the NIR and MIR bands, the pixels where the LCA are located were classified as snow covered (value of 2 in Figure 7) or ice covered (value of 1 in Figure 7). In one case, the chosen value was 1.5 as the pixel showed patchy snow cover. This can be explained if we consider that the spatial resolution of the LANDSAT is equal to 900 m^2 and the surface view by the sensor is less than 1 m^2.

Table 2: Date of the LANDSAT images used in the present study (Path/Row = 001/071) (images from the web site: https://landsatlook.usgs.gov/viewer.html)

Date of the LANDSAT images	No.
10/18/2015	1
11/03/2015	2
11/11/2015	3
11/19/2015	4
12/05/2015	5
12/13/2015	6
01/06/2016	7
01/14/2016	8
01/22/2016	9
02/15/2016	10
03/18/2016	11
03/26/2016	12
04/03/2016	13
04/11/2016	14
04/27/2016	15
05/13/2016	16
05/21/2016	17
05/29/2016	18
06/06/2016	19
06/14/2016	20
06/22/2016	21
06/30/2016	22
07/08/2016	23

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access



Figure 7: Comparison between the LCA measurements and the 23 LANDSAT images (from 10/18/2015 to 06/30/2016, the numbers for the Y axis are the image numbers, see Table 2 for the correspondence) for the 15 points on the Zongo Glacier (see Figure 5 for the locations of the LCA). The red points represent the albedo index value calculated with the LCA and the grey bars indicate the surface state for the corresponding pixel (1: ice and 2: snow). A value of 1.5 was chosen for stake number 14 as the pixel showed patchy snow cover.

The LCA network was deployed in the lower and middle part of the Zongo Glacier (Figure 5) which is the zone where the snowline altitude goes up or down depending

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

on the snowfall events and ablation processes. For all of the points, we identified a first period (10/18/2015 to 11/11/2015) with high albedo values comprised between 0.40 and 0.92. These values are in agreement with the surface state of the glacier on the LANDSAT images where the pixels of the glacier tongue are all snow covered. During the second period, the glacier surface is covered by ice or by snow depending on the altitude. In further detail, we identified three groups organized by altitude ranges depending on the changes in the surface state of the glacier with a first group in the lower part of the glacier (LCA numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), a second group in the middle part of the glacier (LCA numbers 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12) and a third group with LCA numbers 13, 14, 15 (see Figure 5 for the location). Finally, the comparisons between the *in situ* LCA measurements and the surface state given by the LANDSAT images were used to visually identify a threshold for the *albedo index* equal to 0.39 between snow and ice. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Sicart *et al.* (2001) which showed that the albedo for the Zongo Glacier ranges from 0.3 for dirty ice to 0.9 for fresh snow.



Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions

Figure 8*: Daily albedo index for the 15 LCA stations during the period from 09/21/2015 to 06/30/2016, in yellow: missing data; binary values considering the separation between ice (1: in black) and snow (2: in grey) with a threshold equal to 0.39. In red, the daily precipitation amount measured by the GEONOR rain gauge at the ORE station (mm/day).*

Figure 8 gives the evolution of the albedo for the 15 points during the period 09/21/2015-06/30/2016 as well as the precipitation amount measured by a GEONOR precipitation gauge at the ORE station (Figure 5). We can clearly identify the snowfall events and see how the snow disappears thus leaving the glacier ice exposed. As a result, the snowline altitude variations can be defined and vary between 4929 and 5184 m a.s.l. depending on the period of the year. In further detail, it can be noted that at the beginning of the study period (i.e. between September and November), the snowline quickly rises up and goes down due to intermittent precipitation events. Then, during the rainy season (from December to March), the glacier is mostly snow-covered (mainly above 5000 m a.s.l.). Finally, during the dry season (April to June), the snowline rises up to 5150 m a.s.l. and the glacier tongue is mainly snow free.

4- Discussion and conclusion

In this study we developed, evaluated and tested a new low-cost albedometer (LCA) comprised of two Hobo® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Loggers, measuring downward and upward illuminances. The measurements of the field of view of the LCA in the laboratory with a goniometer showed that the LCA cannot capture the radiation for zenith angles ranging from 55° to 90° (+/- 2°). Using the LCA spectral response (0.205 to 1.2 µm), we evaluated the simulated *albedo index* of the LCA over different types of snow and ice surfaces. The results showed that the LCA *albedo indexes* are within -4% to +8% of the theoretical broadband albedo values while considering that cloudy or clear sky incident irradiances only account only for the spectral response of the LCA and not for the angular response of the LCA with respect to the ideal response. In the second part of the study, we evaluated the LCA *albedo indexes* in the field using CM3 broadband albedo values at two different sites in a tropical mountain in Bolivia: on the Zongo Glacier, at one station located on the glacier and another one located on the moraine. Data were recorded at hourly time steps and then the albedo indices were calculated on a daily timescale (from 11:00 AM to 3:00 PM). The daily *albedo indexes* from the LCA are in good agreement with the broadband albedo values derived from the CM3 pyranometer. By comparing the LCA albedo estimates with the CM3 broadband albedo over a period of approximately 260 days at the two sites, we conclude that the efficiency of the *albedo indexes* given by the LCA is +/- 0.1 compared with classic CNR1 sensors. Future applications are certainly possible, especially considering the low cost, the autonomy of the LCA in terms of energy and the very small size of the sensors. For example, the LCA could be useful to spatialize *in situ* albedos in glacierized catchments: both on the glacier, where the evolution of the snow cover can be monitored, and in the non-glacierized part for the evolution of the seasonal snow cover and, more generally, the changes in the ground albedo due to, for example, variations in the soil moisture (Gascoin *et al*., 2009). The comparison between the LCA measurements and LANDSAT images during the period extending from 10/18/2015 to 06/30/2016 showed that the LCA is a powerful tool that can be used to quantify the evolution of the *albedo index* and to characterize the surface state of the glacier by distinguishing between fresh snow, dirty snow and ice. In order to have good results for the *albedo index* calculated with the LCA, a certain degree of caution is required: for example, snow particles should not stay on the sensor and the sensor must be kept horizontal. This new system has demonstrated its usefulness for a tropical glacier and future studies in other climatic contexts should be conducted.

5- Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the French *Institut de Recherche pour le Développement* (IRD) through the Andean part of the French glacier observatory service, GLACIOCLIM (http://www-lgge.ujf-grenoble.fr/ServiceObs/SiteWebAndes/index.htm), and was carried out within the framework of the International Joint Laboratory GREAT-ICE, a joint initiative of the IRD as well as universities and institutions in Bolivia, Peru, Ecuador and Colombia. All of the contributing authors acknowledge the contribution of LABEX OSUG@2020, ANR grant No. ANR-10-LABX-56. The Pléiades satellite image used for Figure 1 was obtained from the CNES-ISIS FC18473 program funded by the BIOTHAW project (AAP-SCEN-2011-II). The authors would like to thank everyone who participated in the field campaigns: Patrick Ginot, Maxime Harter and Pierre Vincent.

8- References

Boehner, J., Antonic, O. (2009): 'Land-surface parameters specific to topo-climatology'. in: Hengl, T., Reuter, H. (Eds.): 'Geomorphometry - Concepts, Software, Applications'. Developments in Soil Science, Volume 33, p.195-226, Elsevier

Carmagnola, C. M., Domine, F., Dumont, M., Wright, P., Strellis, B., Bergin, M., et al. (2013). Snow spectral albedo at Summit, Greenland: comparison between in situ measurements and numerical simulations using measured physical and chemical properties of the snowpack. The Cryosphere, 7, 1139–1160. http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1139-2013.Colbeck, S.C., 1983, Theory of metamorphism of dry snow, Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans and atmospheres, 88(NC9), 5475-5482

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Corripio, J., 2004. Snow surface albedo estimation using terrestrial photography. Int. J. Remote Sensing, 24(24), 5705-5729

Dumont, M., P. Sirguey, Y. Arnaud and D. Six. 2011. Monitoring spatial and temporal variations of surface albedo on Saint Sorlin Glacier (French Alps) using terrestrial photography. Cryosphere, 5, 759-771. doi: 10.5194/tc-5-759-2011

Dumont, M., J. Gardelle, P. Sirguey, A. Guillot, D. Six, A. Rabatel and Y. Arnaud. 2012. Linking glacier annual mass balance and glacier albedo retrieved from MODIS data. Cryosphere, 6, 1527-1539. doi: 10.5194/tc-6-1527-2012

Gascoin, S., Ducharne, A., Ribstein, P., Perroy, E., Wagnon, P., 2009, Sensitivity of bare soil albedo to surface soil moisture on the moraine of the Zongo glacier (Bolivia), Geophysical Research Letters, volume 36, Issue 2, DOI: 10.1029/2008GL036377

Gardner, A.S., Sharp, M.J., 2010, A review of snow and ice albedo and the development of a new physically based broadband albedo parameterization, Journal of Geophysical Research, VOL. 115, F01009, doi:10.1029/2009JF001444

Klok, E. J., W. Greuell, and J. Oerlemans (2003), Temporal and spatial variation of the surface albedo of Morteratschgletscher, Switzerland, as derived from 12 Landsat images, J. Glaciol., 49, 491–502, doi:10.3189/172756503781830395.

Mullen, P.C, Warren, S.G., 1988, Theory of the optical properties of lake ice, Volume 93, Issue D7, Pages 8403–8414DOI: 10.1029/JD093iD07p08403

Rabatel, A., A. Bermejo, E. Loarte, A. Soruco, J. Gomez, G. Leonardini, C. Vincent, J.-E. Sicart. 2012. Can the snowline be used as an indicator of the equilibrium line and

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems Discussions
Open Access

mass balance for glaciers in the outer tropics? Journal of Glaciology, 58(212), 1027-1036. doi: 10.3189/2012JoG12J027.

Rabatel, A., B. Francou, A. Soruco, J. Gomez, B. Caceres, J.L. Ceballos, R. Basantes, M. Vuille, J.-E. Sicart, C. Huggel, M. Scheel, Y. Lejeune, Y. Arnaud, M. Collet, T. Condom, G. Consoli, V. Favier, V. Jomelli, R. Galarraga, P. Ginot, L. Maisincho, M. Ménégoz, J. Mendoza, E. Ramirez, P. Ribstein, W. Suarez, M. Villacis, P. Wagnon. 2013. Current state of glaciers in the tropical Andes: a multi-century perspective on glacier evolution and climate change. The Cryosphere, 7, 81-102. doi:10.5194/tc-7-81-2013.

Stamnes, K., Tsay, S.-C., Wiscombe, W., and Jayaweera, K.: Numerically stable algorithm for discrete-ordinate-method radiative transfer in multiple scattering and emitting layered media, Appl. Opt., 27, 2502–2509, 1988.

Sicart, J. E., P. Ribstein, P. Wagnon, and D. Brunstein (2001), Clear sky albedo measurements on a sloping glacier surface. A case study in the Bolivian Andes, Journal of Geophysical Research, 106(D23), 31729-31738

Sicart JE, Hock R, Ribstein P, Litt M and Ramirez E (2011) Analysis of seasonal variations in mass balance and meltwater discharge of the tropical Zongo Glacier by application of a distributed energy balance model. J. Geophys. Res., 116(D13), D13105 (doi: 10.1029/2010JD015105)

Sicart J.E., Espinoza J.C., Quéno L. and M. Medina. (2016), Radiative properties of clouds over a tropical Bolivian glacier: seasonal variations and relationship with regional atmospheric circulation, International Journal of Climatology, Volume 36, Issue 8, 3116–3128 (doi: 10.1002/joc.4540).

Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2017-55
Manuscript under review for journal Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.
Discussion started: 15 January 2018

Geoscientific Instrumentation Methods and Data Systems
Open Access
Discussions

Stroeve, J., A. Nolin, and K. Steffen (1997), Comparison of AVHRRderived and in situ surface albedo over the Greenland Ice Sheet, Remote Sens. Environ., 62, 262–276, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(97)00107-7.

Stamnes, K., Tsay, S.-C., Wiscombe, W., and Jayaweera, K., 1988, Numerically stable algorithm for discrete-ordinate-methodradiative transfer in multiple scattering and emitting layered media, Appl. Opt., 27, 2502–2509

Tachikawa, T., Kaku, M., Iwasaki, A., Gesch, D., Oimoen,M., Zhang, Z., Danielson, J., Krieger, T., Curtis, B., Haase, J., Abrams,M., Crippen, R., Carabajal, C., 2011. ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model Version 2 — Summary of validation results. METI & NASA, (28 pp.).

van den Broeke, M., D. van As, C. Reijmer, and R. van de Wal, (2004), Assessing and improving the quality of unattended radiation observations in Antarctica, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 21, 1417– 1431, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2004)021<1417:AAITQO>2.0.CO;2.

Vuille M and Ammann C (1997) Regional snowfall patterns in the high, arid Andes. Climatic Change, 36(3–4), 413–423 (doi: 10.1023/A:1005330802974)

Warren, S.G., 1982, Optical-properties of snow, Reviews of Geophysics, 20(1), 67-89