

## ***Interactive comment on “How much solar wind data are sufficient for accurate fluxgate magnetometer offset determinations?” by Ferdinand Plaschke***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 9 August 2019

Article Review Journal: GI Title: How much solar wind data are sufficient for accurate fluxgate magnetometer offset determinations? Author(s): Ferdinand Plaschke MS No.: gi-2019-4 MS Type: Research article

This paper is a very interesting work which introduces the accuracy of a method for in-orbit offset calibration by means of the Alfvénic fluctuations in the solar wind. The work is based on the data provided by the MMS NASA's mission and a statistical method to answer the question of how much solar wind data is sufficient for in-orbit calibration of space magnetometers offsets. This work is supported by the high resolution of the MMS instrument data and the comparison of these results with the NASA's OMNI

C1

database. I found this work a worth it publication paper, as it is supported by a very well described method and conclusions, and a well selected set of references.

I would like to highlight only one comment on an aspect of the reliability criteria of the MMS data: Although the spacecrafts are not three axis stabilized, the MMS satellites spin and the axis are introduced in the work (page 3, lines 11-12): “In this coordinate system, the major principal axis of inertia (i.e., the spin axis) points in the z-direction and the spacecraft-Sun vector lies in the x-z plane”. In page 4 it is mentioned that “magnetic field fluctuations in Bx are slightly weaker than in the other components, so that  $N_x < N_y < N_z$ ”. It would be useful for the reader to have a more detailed explanation of how the axis of the spacecraft are aligned with the spacecraft-sun direction in the orbit, as intuitively the magnetic field fluctuations in the z-x and y-z planes should be the same. In page 3 it is mentioned that “, any additional offsets determined from these data should ideally vanish”. I found this a compromised comment as it is well known the high dependence of the magnetic response of the fluxgate magnetometer with temperature. Russell et al. (Space Sci Rev (2016) 199: 189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-014-0057-3>) introduce the offset drift with sensor temperature as  $< 10\text{pT}/\text{C}$ . Variations of tens of Celsius degrees (easily reachable in orbit) could lead, without the proper thermal stabilization, to a source of error bigger than the Alfvénic fluctuations in the worst case. Other revisions: Page 4, line 28: “The offset estimates estimated from any particular selected interval are almost certainly not accurate, but a sample of those intervals can yield an accurate offset” Page 5, line 9: “The more offset estimates W from one-minutes intervals are used”

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.geosci-instrum-method-data-syst-discuss.net/gi-2019-4/gi-2019-4-RC2-supplement.pdf>

C2