

Interactive comment on “Ground penetrating radar inspection for Subsurface Historical Structures at Baptism (El-Maghtas) site, Jordan” by AbdEl-Rahman Aqel Abueladas and Emad Akawwi

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 25 August 2020

The paper reports some GPR measurements at the archaeological site where it is thought that the Baptismo of Jesus occurred. Of course, the site is of extreme interest, but the paper is a poor in several aspects. First of all the English, even if understandable, is vary bad, with sentences without the verb, full stops inserted without a reason, repeated words and misprints even in the references (Carrazzo MT is indeed Carrozzo MT, Negra S is indeed Negri S). That said, I don't see either some deep discussion about the performed processing or a discussion an adequate discussion about the archaeological interpretation of the identified remains. I would also drop out the part about the technique of the GPR. It is clear that this is not the area of expertise of the authors and there are books or papers that could referred to this pros. In the end, I

have read a list of results in an important archaeological site, but a paper published on a geophysical scientific journal should and could contain something more (even just a little more) than that. If there will be a substantial revision of the grammar and fluency, and something more consistent about either the processing and/or the archaeological interpretation of the results, then this paper can be suitable for publication in my opinion.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss.,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2020-17>, 2020.

GID

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

