A comparison of gap-filling algorithms for eddy covariance

2 fluxes and their drivers

3

Atbin Mahabbati¹, Jason Beringer¹, Matthias Leopold¹, Ian McHugh², James Cleverly³, Peter Isaac⁴, Azizallah Izady⁵

- 6 ¹School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy,
- 7 Crawley, Perth WA, 6009, Australia
- ² School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Richmond, VIC, 3121,
 Australia
- 10 ³School of Life Sciences University of Technology Sydney Broadway NSW 2007
- 11 ⁴OzFlux Central Node, TERN Ecosystem Processes, Melbourne, VIC 3159, Australia
- ⁵Water Research Center, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman
- 13
- 14 Correspondence to: Atbin Mahabbati (<u>atbin.m@hotmail.com</u>)
- 15

16 Abstract

17

18 The errors and uncertainties associated with gap-filling algorithms of water, carbon and energy fluxes 19 data, have always been one of the main challenges of the global network of microclimatological tower 20 sites that use eddy covariance (EC) technique. To address these concerns, and find more efficient gapfilling algorithms, we reviewed eight algorithms to estimate missing values of environmental drivers, 21 22 and separately, nine algorithms for the three major fluxes typically found in EC time series. We then 23 examined the algorithms' performance for different gap-filling scenarios utilising the data from five 24 EC towers during 2013. This research's objectives were a) to evaluate the impact of the gap lengths on 25 the performance of each algorithm; and b) to compare the performance of traditional and new gapfilling techniques for the EC data, for fluxes and separately for their corresponding meteorological 26 27 drivers. The algorithms' performance was evaluated by generating nine gap windows with different lengths, ranging from a day to 365 days. In each scenario, a gap period was chosen randomly, and the 28 29 data were removed from the dataset, accordingly. After running each scenario, a variety of statistical 30 metrics were used to evaluate the algorithms' performance. The algorithms showed different levels of 31 sensitivity to the gap lengths; The Prophet Forecast Model (FBP) revealed the most sensitivity, whilst 32 the performance of artificial neural networks (ANNs), for instance, did not vary as much by changing 33 the gap length. The algorithms' performance generally decreased with increasing the gap length, yet the differences were not significant for the windows smaller than 30 days. No significant difference 34 35 between the algorithms were recognised for the meteorological and environmental drivers. However, 36 the linear algorithms showed slight superiority over those of machine learning (ML), except the random forest algorithm (RF) estimating the ground heat flux (RMSEs of 28.91 and 33.92 for RF and 37

38 classic linear regression (CLR) respectively). However, for the major fluxes, ML algorithms and the

39 MDS showed superiority over the other algorithms. Even though ANNs, random forest (RF) and

40 extreme gradient boost (XGB) showed comparable performance in gap-filling of the major fluxes, RF

41 provided more consistent results with slightly less bias, as against the other ML algorithms. The results

- 42 indicated no single algorithm that outperforms in all situations, but the RF is a potential alternative
- 43 for the MDS and ANNs as regards flux gap-filling.
- 44

45 1. Introduction

To address the global challenges of climatological and ecological changes, environmental 46 47 scientists and policymakers are demanding data that are continuous in time and space. In addition, 48 there is a need for quantifying and reducing uncertainties in such data, including observations of 49 carbon, water and energy exchanges that are crucial components in national/international flux networks and global earth observing systems. Satellites partially fill this gap as they provide excellent 50 51 spatial coverage but have limited temporal resolution, and not measured at a point scale. As such, 52 high-quality long-term site observations of ecosystem process and fluxes are needed that are 53 continuous in time and space. The global eddy covariance (EC) flux tower network (FLUXNET), consists of its regional counterparts (i.e. AmeriFlux, EUROFLUX, OzFlux, etc.) and was established in 54 55 the late 1990s to address the global demand for such information (Aubinet et al., 1999; Baldocchi et al., 56 2001; Beringer et al., 2016a; Hollinger et al., 1999; Menzer et al., 2013; Tenhunen et al., 1998). Despite 57 EC data being frequently used to validate process modelling analyses, field surveys, and remote 58 sensing assessments (Hagen et al., 2006), there are some serious concerns regarding the technique's 59 challenges, e.g. data gaps and uncertainties. Hence, filling data gaps and reducing uncertainties 60 through better gap-filling techniques are highly needed.

61 Even though the EC is a common technique to measure fluxes of carbon, water and energy, 62 there are some challenges in providing robust, high-quality continuous observations. One of the 63 challenges regarding the technique, and therefore, the flux networks, is addressing data gaps and the 64 uncertainties associated with the gap-filling process, mainly when the gap windows are long (longer 65 than 12 consecutive days, as described by Moffat et al., (2007). These gaps happen quite often for a 66 variety of reasons, such as values out of range, spike detection or manual exclusion of date and time 67 ranges, instrument or power failure, herbivores, fire, eagles nests, lightning, researchers on leave, etc. (Beringer et al., 2016b). Since the EC flux towers are often located in harsh climates, their data are more 68 susceptible to adverse weather (i.e. rain conditions), and they sometimes prevent quick access to sites 69 70 for repair and maintenance. As a result, this issue can, in turn, produce gaps which might be relatively 71 long (Isaac et al., 2017), and thus, problematic as follows. Firstly, loss of data is considered a threat to 72 scientific studies depending on the missing data quantity, pattern, mechanism and nature (Altman 73 and Bland, 2007; Molenberghs et al., 2014; Tannenbaum, 2010). That is because using an incomplete 74 dataset might lead to biased, invalid and unreliable results (Allison, 2000; Kang, 2013; Little, 2002). 75 Second, continuous gap-filled data are required to calculate the annual or monthly budgets of carbon 76 or water balance components (Hutley et al., 2005).

77 Other than the challenges caused by missing data, there are several sources of errors and 78 uncertainties in the EC technique. Firstly, random error is associated with the stochastic nature of 79 turbulence, associated sampling errors (incomplete sampling of large eddies, uncertainty in the 80 calculated covariance between the vertical wind velocity and the scalar of interest), instrument errors, 81 and footprint variability (Aubinet et al., 2012). For instance, Dragoni et al. (2007) analysed EC-based data of Morgan-Monroe State Forest for eight years (1999-2006) and assessed that instrument 82 83 uncertainty was equal to 3% of the total annual NEE. Another primary source of uncertainty in EC 84 measurements is systematic errors caused by methodological challenges and instrument calibration problems (e.g. sonic anemometer errors, spikes, gas analyser errors, etc.). Finally, one of the sources 85 of uncertainties is data processing, especially data gap-filling (Isaac et al., 2017; Moffat et al., 2007; 86 87 Richardson et al., 2012; Richardson and Hollinger, 2007).

88

There are several uncertainties pertaining to gap-filling of missing values, including 89 measurement uncertainty (Richardson and Hollinger, 2007), lengths and timing of the gaps (Falge et 90 91 al., 2001; Richardson and Hollinger, 2007) and the particular gap-filling algorithm that is used (Falge 92 et al., 2001; Moffat et al., 2007). However, there are two dominant issues of long data gaps and the choice of a particular gap-filling algorithm (Aubinet et al., 2012). Firstly, long gaps can significantly 93 94 increase the total amount of uncertainty as the ecosystem behaviour might change because of different 95 agricultural periods or phenological phases (e.g. growing season, harvest period, bushfire, etc.). And thereby show different responses under similar meteorological conditions (Aubinet et al., 2012; Isaac 96 97 et al., 2017; Richardson and Hollinger, 2007). Consequently, the period in which a long gap happens 98 is important. For example, research undertaken by Richardson & Hollinger (2007) on data from a 99 range of FLUXNET sites revealed that a week data gap during spring green-up in a forest led to a 100 higher uncertainty over a three-week gap period during winter. Second, each gap-filling algorithm 101 has its strengths and weaknesses; for instance, Moffat et al. (2007) compared 15 different commonly-102 used gap-filling algorithms. They found no significant difference between the performance of the 103 algorithms with "good" reliability based on analysis of variance of RMSE. Besides, the overall gap-104 filling uncertainty was within ± 25 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for most of the proper algorithms, whereas, the other algorithms generated higher uncertainties of up to ±75 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, showing that the uncertainty 105 106 provided by reliable methods can be considerably smaller. This result is similar to the findings of 107 Richardson & Hollinger (2007) who found that for the datasets used in their study that uncertainties 108 of up to ± 30 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ were from long gaps by appropriate algorithms. Considering that the data 109 provided by EC tower networks are of use for research, government and policymakers, robust gap-110 filling is a need to quantify and reduce uncertainties in flux estimations.

111

Several methods have been typically used to fill data gaps in both fluxes and their meteorological drivers to manage the missing data problem. Due to computational constraints of complex algorithms, early works to impute EC data gaps used interpolation methods based mostly on linear regression or temporal autocorrelation (Falge et al., 2001; Lee et al., 1999). These approaches

were replaced quickly by more sophisticated methods such as non-linear regressions (Barr et al., 2004; 116 Falge et al., 2001; Moffat et al., 2007; Richardson et al., 2006); look-up tables (Falge et al., 2001; Law et 117 118 al., 2002; Zhao and Huang, 2015); artificial neural networks (ANNs) (Aubinet et al., 1999; Beringer et 119 al., 2016a; Cleverly et al., 2013; Hagen et al., 2006; Isaac et al., 2017; Kunwor et al., 2017; Moffat et al., 120 2007; Papale and Valentini, 2003; Pilegaard et al., 2001; Staebler, 1999); mean diurnal variation (Falge et al., 2001; Moffat et al., 2007; Zhao and Huang, 2015), multiple imputations (Hui et al., 2004; Moffat 121 122 et al., 2007), etc. Each of these methods has its pros and cons as follows: a) Interpolation methods such 123 as the Mean Diurnal Variation (MDV), do not need any drivers, yet, their accuracy is lower than other 124 approaches (Aubinet et al., 2012). Moreover, this method may provide biased results on extremely 125 clear or cloudy days (Falge et al., 2001). MDV is not recommended when a gap is longer than two 126 weeks, for it cannot consider the non-linear relations between the drivers and the flux, leading to a 127 high level of uncertainty (Falge et al., 2001). And b) The look-up table, especially its modified version, Marginal Distribution Sampling (MDS), has provided performance close to ANNs, and are more 128 reliable and consistent than the other algorithms so far. Hence, MDS was chosen as one of the standard 129 130 gap-filling methods in EUROFLUX (Aubinet et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the performance of MDS in gap-filling of extra long gaps is not well known (Kim et al., 2020). c) ANNs have commonly been used 131 to gap-fill EC fluxes since 2000 and because of their robust and consistent results are considered as a 132 133 standard gap-filling algorithm in several networks, e.g. ICOS, FLUXNET, OzFlux, etc. (Aubinet et al., 134 2012; Beringer et al., 2017; Isaac et al., 2017). Despite their reliable performance, ANNs - and generally 135 all other ML algorithms- face some challenges. Over-fitting, for instance, is a big concern and can happen when the number of degrees of freedom is high, while the training window is not long enough 136 respectively, or the quality of the training dataset is low. This challenge becomes acute when the gaps 137 138 happen while the ecosystem behaviour changes and shows different responses under similar 139 meteorological conditions. Furthermore, there is a desire to have the training windows short so that 140 the algorithm can track the ecosystem behaviour shift. Yet, this increases the risk of over-fitting depending on the algorithm. In other words, the training window length should be neither too short 141 142 to cause over-fitting, nor too long to lead algorithms to ignore ecological condition changes. Besides, 143 long gaps are considered as one of the primary uncertainty sources of CO2 flux in the FLUXNET (Aubinet et al., 2012). As a result, studying the effects of the gap lengths, as well as the window length 144 145 whereby an algorithm is trained are both critical challenges associated with the environmental data 146 gap-filling.

147

148 Apart from the limitations and disadvantages of the mentioned algorithms, gap-filling of fluxes (e.g. NEE) experiences some other challenges that make it necessary to find or develop new gap-filling 149 150 algorithms. That is because the current methods are not flexible enough to perform well in special 151 occasions or extreme values (Kunwor et al., 2017), and there is almost no room to optimise them to 152 improve their outcome (Moffat et al., 2007). Moreover, even using the best available algorithm, such 153 as ANNs, the model (gap-filling) uncertainty still accounts for a sizable proportion of the total 154 uncertainties, especially when the gaps are relatively long. Since the 2000s when MDS and ANNs were chosen as the most reliable gap-filling methods for EC flux observations, many new ML and 155

156 optimisation algorithms have been developed and used in various scientific fields. Some of which 157 have shown superiority over ANNs, either individually or as a part of a hybrid or ensemble model, e.g. (Gani et al., 2016). As a result, comparing the cutting-edge algorithms with the current standard 158 159 ones can show whether there is any room to improve the gap-filling process within the field. According to the concerns mentioned above, this paper had two objectives. a) To find out the impact 160 161 of different gap lengths on the performance of each algorithm. And b) to compare the performance of traditional with new gap-filling techniques, separately for fluxes and their meteorological drivers, 162 particularly soil moisture, for this has always been a challenging variable to gap-fill due to biology 163 and heterogeneity of soil parameters. To address these objectives, we utilised nine different algorithms 164 (Extreme Gradient Boost (XGB), Random Forest Algorithm (RF), Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), 165 166 Marginal Distribution Sampling (MDS), Classic Linear Regression (CLR), Support Vector Regression (SVR), Elastic net regularisation (ELN), Panel Data (PD) and Prophet Forecast Model (FBP)) to fill the 167 gaps of the major fluxes, and eight of them (excluding MDS) to fill the gaps of the environmental 168 drivers. We then assessed their relative performance to evaluate potentially better ways to fill EC flux 169 170 data. To test the approaches, we used five flux towers from the OzFlux network. To evaluate the performance of these algorithms, nine scenarios for gaps were planned - from a day to a whole year -171 172 and applied to the datasets, and different common performance metrics (e.g. RMSE, MBE, etc.), as well as visual graphs were used. 173

174

175 2. Materials and methods

176

177 In order to address the first objective of this research, nine different gap lengths were superimposed to the datasets, i.e. 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 180 and 365 days. To address the second 178 objective, we chose nine different algorithms to fill the gaps, including a wide variety of different 179 approaches, e.g. from a simple algorithm like CLR to the cutting-edge ML algorithms, such as XGB 180 (MDS was not used to gap-fill the environmental drivers). The data used in this paper came from five 181 EC towers of the OzFlux Network, i.e. Alice Springs Mulga, Calperum, Gingin, Howard Springs and 182 Tumbarumba from 2012 to 2013, with a time resolution of 30 minutes, except for Tumbarumba (60 183 184 minutes). Additionally, data coming from three additional sources outside of the network were also used as ancillary data to help the algorithms fill environmental drivers' gaps. 185

186 2.1. Data

187 The data used for this research came from the OzFlux, which is the regional Australian and New Zealand flux tower network that aims to provide a continental-scale national research facility to 188 monitor and assess Australia's terrestrial biosphere and climate (Beringer et al., 2016a). As described 189 190 in Isaac et al. (2017), all OzFlux towers continuously measure and record meteorological and flux 191 variables at resolutions up to 10 Hz, and use a 30 min averaging period, with a few exceptions (data 192 are available from (http://data.ozflux.org.au/portal). The network acquires additional data from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BoM), the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather 193 194 Forecasting (ECMWF), and the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on the TERRA and AQUA satellites for alternative data for gap-filling flux tower datasets (Isaac et al., 2017). 195 196 As explained by Isaac et al. (2017), OzFlux uses the BoM automated weather station (AWS) datasets 197 to gap-fill the meteorological data, the BoM weather forecasting model (ACCESS-R) for radiation and

soil data from 2011 onward, and MODIS MOD13Q1 for Normalised Difference Vegetation Index
(NDVI) and Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI). Moreover, the data provided by BIOS2, a physicallybased model-data integration environment for tracking Australian carbon and water (Haverd et al.,
2015), were also used as another ancillary source for varieties of environmental features. Current
ACCESS-R and MODIS data are available from the BoM OPeNDAP (http://www.opendap.org/)
server and TERN-AusCover data (http://www.auscover.org.au/), respectively.

204

205 The datasets used in this research came from five towers from the OzFlux Network between 206 2012 and 2013, each representative of a different climate and land cover of Australian ecological conditions; i.e. Alice Springs Mulga: Tropical and Subtropical Desert, Calperum: steppe, Gingin: 207 208 Mediterranean, Howard Springs: Tropical Savanna, Tumbarumba: Oceanic (Table 1) (Beringer et al., 209 2016a). The datasets included 15 meteorological drivers as well as three major fluxes recorded (Table 2) based upon EC technique at a 30-minute temporal resolution, except for Tumbarumba, which was 210 hourly. Additionally, relevant ancillary datasets for the mentioned towers were used to follow the 211 212 OzFlux Network gap-filling protocol (Table 3). Each dataset was quality checked at three levels based on the OzFlux Network protocol described in (Isaac et al., 2017) and applied using PyFluxPro ver. 213 214 0.9.2. To address the underestimation of canopy respiration by EC measurements at night, we used 215 the CPD method (Barr et al., 2013) to reject nightly records when the friction velocity fell below each 216 site's threshold value. After dismissing the inappropriate measurements, overall coverage of 72-88 % 217 and 21-48 % were achieved for diurnal and nocturnal records during 2013 (the year to which the 218 artificial gaps were superimposed), respectively.

219

Table 1. The information of the five towers that their data were used, including their name, location, dominant species andclimate.

Site	Location	Species	Climate	Latitude, Longitude (degree)
Alice Springs Mulga [AU-ASM]	Pine Hill cattle station, near Alice Springs, Northern Territory	Semi-arid mulga (Acacia aneura) ecosystem	Tropical and Subtropical Desert Climate (Bwh)	-22.2828°N, 133.2493° E
Calperum [AU-Cpr]	Calperum Station, 25 km NW of Renmark, South Australia	Recovering Mallee woodland	Steppe Climate (Bsk)	-34.0027° N, 140.5877° E
Gingin [AU-Gin]	Swan Coastal Plain 70 km north of Perth, Western Australia	Coastal heath Banksia woodland	Mediterranean Climate (Csa)	-31.3764° N, 115.7139° E
Howard Springs [AU-How]	E of Darwin, NT	Tropical savanna (wet)	Tropical Savanna Climate (Aw)	-12.4943° N, 131.1523° E
Tumbarumba [AU- Tum]	Near Tumbarumba, NSW	Wet temperate sclerophyll eucalypt	Oceanic climate (Cfb)	-35.6566° N, 148.1517° E

222

223

List of variables	Units	
Drivers:		
Ah	Absolute Humidity (g m ⁻³)	
Fa	Available energy (W m ⁻²)	
Fg	Ground heat flux (W m ⁻²)	
Fld	Downwelling long-wave radiation (W m ⁻²)	
Flu	Upwelling long-wave radiation (W m ⁻²)	
Fn	Net radiation (W m ⁻²)	
Fsd	Downwelling short-wave radiation (W m ⁻²)	
Fsu	Upwelling short-wave radiation (W m ⁻²)	
ps	Surface pressure (kPa)	
Sws	Soil water content (m m ⁻¹)	
Та	Air temperature (C)	
Ts	Soil temperature (C)	
Ws	Wind speed (m s ⁻¹)	
Wd	Wind direction (deg)	
Precip	Precipitation (mm)	
q	Specific Humidity (kg kg-1)	
Fluxes:		
Fc (also NEE)	CO ₂ flux (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	
Fh (also H)	Sensible heat flux (W m ⁻²)	
Fe (also LE)	Latent heat flux (W m ⁻²)	

Table 2. List of variables and their units used in this research, including the three main fluxes and their environmental drivers.

The datasets whereby each environmental variable was gap-filled are shown in Table 3. For each of these variables, the same variable of the ancillary source was used to fill the gaps. For instance, to gap-fill Ah, the Ah records of AWS, ACCESS-R and BIOS2 were used. To gap-fill the missing values of fluxes, i.e. Fc (NEE), Fh (H) and Fe (LE), eight drivers were used as follows: Ta, Ws, Sws, Fg, vapour pressure deficit (VPD), Fn, g and Ts based on a combination of Random Forest (RF) feature selection and testing out a series of feature combinations. Different Python Programming Language libraries (ver. 3.6.4) were utilised for training and testing the algorithms, i.e. xgboost for XGB, fbprophet for FBP statsmodels for PD and sklearn for the rest of algorithms. Each algorithm was tuned individually using grid search, and the number of nodes, layers, irritations, etc. were chosen accordingly.

Table 3. The ancillary sources used to gap fill each environmental driver.

List of variables (y)	Ancillary Source
Drivers:	
Ah	AWS, ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Fa	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Fg	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Fld	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Flu	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Fn	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Fsd	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Fsu	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
ps	AWS, ACCESS-R
Sws	ACCESS-R, BIOS2

Та	AWS, ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Ts	ACCESS-R, BIOS2
Ws	AWS, ACCESS-R
Wd	AWS, ACCESS-R
Precip	AWS, ACCESS-R, BIOS2

238

- 239
- 240
- 241

2.2. *Gap-filling algorithms*

Eight imputation algorithms for estimating 15 environmental drivers and 9 algorithms for the 3 major fluxes were chosen to make the comparison. These algorithms were selected in such a way that a variety of approaches were tested, from the standard methods like ANNs and MDS, to the newer algorithms, which have rarely or never been used in the field, such as Extreme Gradient Boosting and panel data (Table 4).

Algorithm abbreviation	Full name	
XGB	Extreme Gradient Boost	
RF	Random Forest Algorithm	
ANNs	Artificial Neural Networks	
MDS	Marginal Distribution Sampling	
SVR	Support Vector Regression	
CLR	Classical Linear Regression	
PD	Panel data	
ELN	Elastic net regularisation	
FBP	The Prophet Forecasting Model (Facebook Prophet)	

247 Table 4. The name and the abbreviation of the gap-filling algorithms.

248

249 Marginal Distribution Sampling (MDS)

250 Reichstein Reichstein et al. (2005) introduced the MDS is an enhanced look-up table method, 251 which considers both the covariation of fluxes with meteorological variables and the temporal auto-252 correlation of the fluxes (Aubinet et al., 2012). Alongside the ANNs, the MDS is considered one of the 253 standard gap-filling methods for flux data amongst the FLUXNET, and is selected in this study to help 254 the community have a clear idea of the performance of other algorithms. Unlike the other algorithms 255 used in this research, we used Fsd, Ta and VPD as the input features for the MDS to be consistent with standard application of the MDS, and for using more than three or four drivers is not generally 256 257 recommended (Aubinet et al., 2012). The PyFluxPro ver. 0.9.2 was used to apply the algorithm (modified code used for the gaps longer than 10 days). 258

259

260 Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

Rooted in the 1950s, artificial neural networks are ML methods inspired by biological neural
networks and are classified as supervised learning methods (Dreyfus, 1990; Farley and Clark, 1954).
ANNs work based on several connected units called nodes, which are used to mimic a neuron's
functionality in an animal brain by sending and receiving signals to other nodes. The ANNs technique
used in this paper was the Multi-layer Perceptron regressor, which optimises the squared-loss using
stochastic gradient descent. Sklearn.neural_network.MLPRegressor was used to apply this method

in Python, and its hyperparameters were 800 and 500 for "hidden_layer_sizes" and "max_iter",

respectively based on grid search. ANNs are one of the current standard approaches for gap-filling in

269 FLUXNET and in this research were picked out as a performance reference for other algorithms.

270

271 Classical Linear Regression (CLR)

A classical linear regression is an equation developed to estimate the value of the dependent variable (y) based on independent values (xi). In contrast, each xi has its specific coefficient and an overall intercept value. In this method, these coefficients are determined by minimising the squared residuals (errors) of estimated vs observed values, called least squares. A CLR algorithm can be formulated as follows (Freedman, 2009):

$$y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \dots + \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon$$
(1)

where y is the dependent variable, α is the interception, X_is are independent variables, and β_i is coefficient of X_i, and ε is the error term. We chose this algorithm as a baseline to find out how better more complicated algorithms can estimate dependent variables comparatively.

280 Random Forests (RF)

281 Random forest, a supervised ML algorithm, used for both classification and regression, consists of multiple trees constructed systematically by pseudorandomly selecting subsets of 282 283 components of the feature vector, that is, trees constructed in randomly chosen subspaces (Ho, 1998). 284 The RF algorithm has been developed to overcome the over-fitting problem, a commonplace 285 limitation of its preceding decision tree-based methods (Но, 1995, 1998). 286 Sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestRegressor was used to apply this method in Python, and the hyperparameters used were 5 and 1000 for "max_depth" and "n_estimators", respectively based on 287 288 grid search.

289

290 Support Vector Regression (SVR)

As a non-linear method, support vector regression was developed based on Vanpik's concept of support vectors theory (Drucker et al., 1997). An SVR algorithm is trained by trying to solve the following problem:

294

295 minimise $\frac{1}{2} ||w||^2$

296 subject to $\begin{pmatrix} y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b \le \varepsilon, \\ \langle w, x_i \rangle + b - y_i \le \varepsilon, \end{pmatrix}$

where x_i and y_i are training sample and target value in a row. The inner product plus intercept $\langle w, x_i \rangle + b$ is the prediction for that sample, and ε is a free parameter that serves as a threshold.

sklearn.svm.SVR was used to apply this method in Python, and the hyperparameters that used were1 and 0.001 for "C" and "gamma", respectively based on grid search.

301 Elastic net regularisation (ELN)

The elastic net is a linear regularised regression method that exerts small amounts of bias by adding two penalty components to the regressed line to decline the coefficients of independent variables and thus, provides better long-term predictions. Given that these two penalty components come from ridge regression and LASSO, the elastic net is considered as a hybrid model consists of ridge and LASSO regressions, overcoming the limitations of both. The estimates from the ELN method can be formulated as below (Zou and Hastie, 2005):

$$\hat{\beta}(elastic net) = \frac{\left(\left|\hat{\beta}(OLS)\right| - \lambda_1/2\right)}{1 + \lambda_2} sgn\{\hat{\beta}(OLS)\}$$
(2)

308

309 where $\hat{\beta}$ is the coefficient of each ELN independent variable, λ_1 and λ_2 are penalty coefficients of 310 LASSO and ridge regression respectively, $\hat{\beta}(OLS)$ is the coefficient of an independent variable 311 calculated based on ordinary least squares, and *sgn* stands for the sign function:

$$sgn(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

312

The ELN regression is good at addressing situations when the training datasets have small samples or when there are correlations between parameters. sklearn.linear_model.ElasticNet was used to apply this method in Python, and the hyperparameters used were as follows: {'alpha': 0.01, 'fit_intercept': True, 'max_iter': 5000, 'normalize': False} based on grid search.

317

318 Panel data (PD)

Panel data is a multidimensional statistical method, mainly used in econometrics to analyse datasets, which involve time series of observations amongst individual cross-sections (Baltagi, 1995) usually based on ordinary least squares (OLS) or generalised least squares (GLS). A two-way panel data model consists of two extra components beyond a CLR as follows (Baltagi, 1995; Hsiao et al., 2002; Wooldridge, 2008):

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + u_{it}$$
 $i = 1, 2, ..., N; t = 1, 2, ..., T$ (4)

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t \tag{5}$$

where i and t denote the cross-section and time series dimension in a row, y is a dependent-variable vector, X is an independent variable matrix, α is a scalar, β is the coefficient of the independentvariable matrix, μ_i is the unobservable individual-specific effect, and λ_t is the unobservable time327 specific effect. Panel data abilities to provide a holistic analysis of different individuals, as well as

- determining the specific impact of every single time caused its superiority over CLR. Since PD
- 329 requires cross-sections to be applied, we used a cross-section tower for each of the main five tower as
- 330 follows: Ti Tree East for Alice Springs Mulga, Whroo for Calperum, Great Western Woodlands for
- 331 Gingin, Daly River for Howard Springs, and Cumberland Plain for Tumbarumba. The cross-section
- towers were chosen based on their distances (the closest ones with common years of data).

333 Extreme Gradient Boost (XGB)

334 Extreme gradient boost is a reinforced method of Gradient Boost introduced in 1999 that 335 works based on parallel boosted decision trees and similar to RF can be used for a variety of data 336 processing purposes including classification and regression (Friedman, 2002; Jerome H. Friedman, 337 2001; Ye et al., 2009). XGB method is resistive to over-fitting and provides a robust, portable and 338 scalable algorithm for large-scale boosting decision-trees-based techniques. 339 sklearn.ensemble.GradientBoostingRegressor was used to apply this method in Python, and its 340 hyperparameters were chosen based on grid search as follows: {'learning_rate': 0.001, 'max_depth': 8, 341 'reg alpha': 0.1, 'subsample': 0.5}.

342

343 The Prophet Forecasting Model (FBP)

The Prophet Forecasting Model, also known as "prophet", is a time series forecasting model developed by Facebook to manage the common features of business time series and designed to have intuitive parameters that can be adjusted without knowing the details of underlying model (Taylor and Letham, 2017). A decomposable time series model was used (Harvey and Peters, 1990) to develop this model, with three main components: trend, seasonality, and holidays as the equation below (Taylor and Letham, 2018):

y(t) = g(t) + s(t) + h(t)

(6)

350

where g(t) is the trend function, which models non-periodic changes, s(t) is a function to represent
periodic changes, e.g. seasonality, and h(t) assesses the effects of potential anomalies which occur over
one or more days, e.g. holidays.

354

355 2.3. The gap scenarios

In order to find out the effect of gap size on the performance of our gap-filling algorithms, the data was removed randomly from nine different gap windows (i.e. 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, 180 and 365 consecutive days) during 2013. Afterwards, the data from 2012 to 2013 were used to train the algorithms (excluding the superimposed gaps). Finally, the trained algorithms were used to fill the artificial gaps superimposed to the datasets. The entire process permutated five times in each scenario to ensure the performance was not sensitive to the gap position (i.e seasonally). As such, 15 variables, 9 window lengths, 8 gap-filling methods (MDS excluded), and 5 permutations across 5 towers resulted
in 27,000 computations for the meteorological features. Similarly, 3 fluxes, 9 window lengths, 9 gapfilling methods, and 5 permutations across 5 towers resulted in 6,075 computations for the major
fluxes, overall.

2.4. Statistical performance measures

367 Different statistical metrics were used to evaluate algorithms' performance and enable comparison between measured values from the flux towers with each gap-filling algorithm prediction. 368 369 These metrics included the coefficient of determination (R-squared) to measure the square of the coefficient of multiple correlations (Devore, 1991), the variance of measured and modelled values (S²) 370 371 to indicate how well algorithms could follow the variations of the recorded data, the root mean square 372 error (RMSE), the mean bias error (MBE) to capture distribution and bias of residuals, variance ratio 373 (VR) to compare the variance of estimated values with those of measured, and the Index of Agreement 374 (IoAd) to compare the sum of the squared error to the potential error (Bennett et al., 2013). Abbreviations and formulas of these metrics are illustrated as follows (Bennett et al., 2013): 375

$$R^{2} = \frac{\left[\sum(p_{i} - \bar{p})(o_{i} - \bar{o})\right]^{2}}{\sum(p_{i} - \bar{p})^{2}\sum(o_{i} - \bar{o})^{2}}$$
(7)

376

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}}{N - 1}$$
(8)

377

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (p_i - o_i)^2}{N - 1}}$$
(9)

378

379

$$MBE = \frac{\sum o_i - p_i}{N - 1}$$
(10)

380

$$VR = \frac{\sigma_p^2}{\sigma_o^2}$$
(11)

381

$$IoAd = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (o_i - p_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|p_i - \bar{o}| + |o_i - \bar{o}|)^2}$$
(12)

382

where o_i and p_i are individual measured and predicted values respectively, \bar{o} and \bar{p} are the means of o and p, and σ^2 is the variance. S² is calculated separately for the observed and predicted values with the respective values defined as x representing every observed or predicted value. All of these metrics were calculated for each of the gap scenarios, and then the results of five permutations were concatenated. Afterwards, the metrics were calculated to avoid Simpson's paradox or any relevantaveraging issue as described by Kock and Gaskins (2016).

389 **3. Results**

390

- 391 *3.1. Fluxes*
- **392** 3.1.1 CO₂ flux (Fc)

393 Even though factors such as ground heat flux (Fg) and net radiation (Fn) are fluxes, we dealt 394 with them as environmental drivers since they drive the three major turbulent fluxes. The metrics 395 used to evaluate the algorithms' performance (RMSE, R², MBE, IoAd and VR) (Table 5) illustrated that 396 overall, the performance of these algorithms, particularly the ML ones, was similar, closely followed 397 by the MDS. The XGB provided the lowest values of RMSE and one of the highest R², while the FBP and ELN had the lowest and highest values of R² and RMSE, respectively. The algorithms, however, 398 399 showed different levels of sensitivity to the gap lengths, e.g. the CLR and PD showed smaller 400 sensitivity, while the FBP showed the most sensitivity (Figure 1).

Table 5. The average amounts of performance metrics for each gap-filling algorithm regarding Fc, which includes all window
lengths and sites, ranked by RMSE using the Tukey's HSD test at the level of 5 per cent.

Algorithm	Mean RMSE	Mean R ²	Mean MBE	Mean IoAd	Mean VR
XGB	3.07 a	0.59	-0.43	0.90	0.66
RF	3.12 ª	0.58	-0.37	0.91	0.71
ANNs	3.13 a	0.56	-0.33	0.90	0.69
SVR	3.34 ^b	0.47	-0.32	0.86	0.75
MDS	3.35 b	0.51	-0.41	0.85	0.70
PD	3.41 ^{b,c}	0.48	-0.35	0.81	0.54
CLR	3.44 ^{b,c}	0.49	-0.36	0.81	0.55
ELN	4.52 °	0.43	-0.37	0.73	0.39
FBP	4.15 d	0.47	-0.06	0.77	0.68

403

404 These outcomes were expected for the XGB as it uses a more regularised model formalisation to 405 control over-fitting (Chen and Guestrin, 2016) which, on paper, leads to better performance as against its ML rivals. The relatively poor performance of FBP was also foreseen for unlike other algorithms, 406 FBP did not use any feature to estimate flux values, other than the previous time series of flux values. 407 408 However, the weaker performance of the ELN compared to CLR was unforeseen as by adding two 409 penalty components to the regression line, the ELN is supposed to improve the long-term prediction 410 compared to the traditional linear regression methods. Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) test at the level of five per cent was applied to the results to determine whether the difference amongst 411 412 the algorithms was significant (Table 5). Where the null hypothesis was there is no significant 413 difference between the mean values of the RMSE. According to the results, there were significant 414 differences between certain algorithms, and the XGB, RF and ANNs were different from the rest, 415 showing that these three performed considerably better. Tukey's HSD test, however, did not reject the second error probability between RF, XGB and ANNs meaning that the three algorithms were not 416 significantly different from each other. This result agrees with the results of Falge et al. (2001) and 417

- 418 Moffat et al. (2007) in the sense that ANNs are one of the best available gap-filling algorithms, and
- there is no significant difference amongst the appropriate algorithms. However, the test showed that
- 420 the performance of the MDS was significantly different from the ANNs. It seems that the difference
- 421 has occurred because of the longer gaps (> 10 days) that had been absent from the previous studies.
- 422 Finally, it is worth mentioning that Tukey's HSD is well known as a conservative test. That being said,
- despite no meaningful difference based on Tukey's HSD, XGB and RF might have performed better
 than ANNs, as the superiority of RF in gap-filling of methane flux over the ANNs, SVR, and MDS has
- 425 recently been claimed by Kim et al. (2020).



426

427 Figure 1. A heat map of mean RMSE values of Fc across all sites based on 9 algorithms and 9 window lengths in 2013.

428

To address this paper's first objective, which was to find out the sensitivity of the gap-filling algorithms to the gap window length, we used the averaged RMSE, R2 and MBE for each gap size using the output of all algorithms for all sites (Table 6). The outcome illustrates that the longer the window length got, the larger the RMSE became. Yet, no such pattern was recognisable for the R² and MBE. As a result, generally, any consecutive gaps longer than 30 days seem to decline the algorithms' performance noticeably. A reason for this may be that longer windows do not let the algorithms accommodate seasonal changes and, therefore, different canopy physiological behaviour.

436	Table 6. The average RMSE, R ² , and MBE for Fc gap-filling based on the window length including the outcome of all sites; the
437	differences of RMSE values were tested using the Tukey's HSD test at the level of 5 per cent.

Window length	Mean RMSE	Mean R ²	Mean MBE
1-day	3.23 ª	0.53	-0.27
5-days	3.25 ª	0.52	-0.31
10-days	3.26 ª	0.51	-0.29
20-days	3.27 ª	0.51	-0.31
30-days	3.29 ª	0.51	-0.31
60-days	3.32 ª	0.49	-0.35
90-days	3.37 ª	0.51	-0.38
180-days	3.43 ª	0.50	-0.41
365-days	3.49 ª	0.49	-0.37

438

According to the MBE values (Table 5), mainly, all algorithms had negative MBE indicating an overestimation of the Fc values. This bias varied from tower to tower and depended on the window lengths. For instance, the MBE's absolute values were larger in Gingin and Tumbarumba, while considerably smaller (closer to zero) at Alice Springs Mulga and Calperum (Supplementary). The lower leaf area index of the two later sites, and thus their smaller amounts of photosynthesis are likely to be the reason for this. FBP, nonetheless, provided substantially lower mean bias (-0.06) compared to the other algorithms, which varied between -0.32 and -0.43.

446 Observations from the EC technique often include extremely low or high values after QC, 447 especially at night, when some of the theoretical assumptions might be violated. One of the practical 448 challenges associated with the EC technique is that it is often difficult to distinguish between the good 449 data and the noise (Aubinet et al., 2012; Burba and Anderson, 2010). This problem seems to affect the 450 outcomes of the gap-filling algorithms in this research, as none of them performed ideally in capturing the observed variance (Table 5Error! Reference source not found.). Even though RMSE, R² and IoAd 451 showed the superiority of the XGB, RF and ANNs, the variance ratio between the estimated and 452 453 measured values revealed different information (Table 5), which is recognisable in Figure 2. The 454 variance ratios (VR) showed that SVR captured the extreme values of Fc better than the other 455 algorithms, 0.75 on average. The other ML algorithms –plus the MDS- though, performed closely with regard to capturing the extremes that matches both the expectations, and the performance metrics(Table 5).



458

459 Figure 2. Measured vs estimated values of Fc for Calperum based on a 10-day gap window (March 22 - March 31, 2013): (a) the
 460 ML algorithm plus the MDS, and (b) the linear models plus FBP.

461 The linear algorithms, CLR, PD, and ELN, performed worse concerning the VR compared to the ML

462 algorithms with the VR of Fc for Calperum (Figure 2Error! Reference source not found.) confirming463 this. Based on the figure, as expected, the ELN performed the worst in capturing the fluctuations in

405 this. based on the lighter, as expected, the EEN performed the worst in capturing the nucluations in

464 Fc (VR = 0.39), while the performance of the other algorithms, apart from the top five, was not

significantly better the exception of FBP. It is noteworthy that CLR, PD, and ELN frequently predicted

466 nocturnal photosynthesis. Overall, the results showed a significant difference between the top five

467 algorithms (XGB, RF, ANNs, SVR, and MDS) and remaining algorithms, particularly in capturing the

fluctuations and the min-max range of Fc. However, a comprehensive comparison shows a slightsuperiority of the XGB and RF.

470 *3.1.2 Latent heat flux (Fe)*

471 The performance of algorithms for Fe was similar to that for Fc with respect to RMSE, MBE 472 and R^2 , as shown in Table 7. This similarity was not surprising since these processes are partially 473 coupled via stomatal conductance (Scanlon and Kustas, 2010; Scanlon and Sahu, 2008). Again, the top 474 three ML algorithms performed better, with XGB and RF being statistically significant as shown by 475 the Tukey's HSD (Table 7). The null hypothesis was not rejected while comparing FBP and SVR, 476 whereas the better performance of the other algorithms was confirmed. As a result, the FBP and SVR 477 provided the most unsatisfactory results in estimating Fe, according to the average values of the 478 RMSE. No significant improvement in RMSE occurred when the gap lengths became shorter than 60 479 days, meaning that the algorithms' performance did not vary considerably from a 30-day to a one-day 480 window, especially for the top algorithms (XGB, RF, and ANNs). CLR and PD results were very similar to those for Fc, showed lower RMSE and higher R² values as against ELN, but the ELN led to 481 482 a slightly lower MBE. The MBE values also showed moderately high values for the SVR, meaning that 483 there was an absolute bias in its outcome, which might be related to overfitting. The source of the bias 484 shown by the SVR algorithm (Figure 3), was because it could not capture the minimum values 485 appropriately, resulting in a considerable overestimation. A common issue in estimating Fe values, 486 which had affected all algorithms other than the FBP, was the inability to capture the negative values. 487 In contrast to Fc results, the ANNs did not perform as well as the XGB and RF, which could be due to 488 not capturing the maximum values compared to its rivals.

Table 7. The average metrics for Fe gap-filling based on the algorithms, ranked by RMSE using the Tukey's HSD test at the level
of 5 per cent.

Algorithm (Fe)	Mean RMSE	Mean R ²	Mean MBE
XGB	34.95 ª	0.74	-3.48
RF	35.63 ª	0.74	-3.33
ANNs	37.77 ^{a,b}	0.67	-3.94
MDS	41.74 ^{b,c}	0.64	-3.27
PD	43.28 ^{b,c}	0.64	-6.35
CLR	43.51 °	0.64	-6.66
Eln	44.34 c	0.59	-5.13
SVR	46.63 ^{c,d}	0.59	-20.45
FBP	50.53 ^d	0.52	3.01

491

492





495 3.1.3 Sensible heat flux (Fh)

493

496 As with the other flux results, the metrics of RMSE, R² and MBE showed slight superiority for XGB and RF, as well as the inferiority of the SVR and FBP over the other algorithms (Table 8). 497 498 Likewise, the SVR provided relatively large negative values of MBE, showing considerable overestimation. The Tukey's HSD test of the average RMSE values confirmed that the performance of 499 500 the FBP was significantly different from the rest at the level of 5 per cent, making FBP the weakest 501 performer for Fh. On the other hand, although there was no significant difference amongst the XGB, RF and ANNs, the first two were considerably superior over the other algorithms as regards the 502 Tukey's HSD test. Similarly to Fe, estimated values of Fh using SVR had a negative bias (Figure 4) 503 because it was not able to provide appropriate estimations of Fh minimum values. In contrast, the 504 505 ANNs performed the best in capturing the minimum values, while the other top algorithms performed almost equally well. Despite the close performance in capturing the minimum values, 506 ANNs and MDS did not perform as well as XGB and RF in capturing the overall values, resulting in 507 508 an higher RMSE. Finally, like the other fluxes, the PD performed slightly better than the CLR and 509 ELN.

510	Table 8. The average metrics for Fh gap-filling based on the algorithms, ranked by RMSE using the Tukey's HSD test at the level
511	of 5 per cent.

Algorithm (Fh)	Mean RMSE	Mean R ²	Mean MBE
XGB	37.23 ª	0.92	-0.21
RF	37.55 ª	0.91	-0.09
ANNs	40.13 ^{a,b}	0.90	-0.08
MDS	43.30 ^{b,c}	0.88	-9.51
SVR	43.80 ^{b,c}	0.88	0.35
PD	44.96 c	0.88	1.36
CLR	45.03 c	0.88	1.64
Eln	45.19 °	0.87	2.16
FBP	72.91 ^d	0.73	1.07



513 Figure 4. Measured vs estimated values of Fh for Calperum based on a 10-day gap window (March 22 - March 31 2013).

514

515

512

3.2. Meteorological and Environmental Drivers

Since meteorological and environmental drivers are needed to fill the gaps of the three 516 517 turbulent fluxes (Fc, Fe and Fh), the eight algorithms (excluding the MDS) were used to fill these drivers' gaps. The metrics of R², RMSE, and MBE were calculated for all five towers and nine window 518 519 lengths (16 meteorological and environmental drivers). Overall, for most meteorological drivers, the linear algorithms, especially the CLR and PD, performed slightly better than the ML algorithms such 520 as the XGB, RF, ANNs and SVR, except for Ah, Fg and Fn. This unexpected superiority can be 521 522 explained based on the two following reasons. Firstly, unlike the fluxes, the input and output features 523 were the same here, e.g. Ta for Ta, which led to solid correlations (e.g. up to 0.99 for atmospheric 524 pressure - ps) as well as strong linear relationships between the independent and dependent features. 525 These strong correlations helped the linear algorithms perform well and reduced ML algorithms' ability to capture non-linear behaviour of complicated problems. Second, ML algorithms' slight 526 inferiority could be due to data noise where simple linear algorithms such as the CLR are usually 527 relatively less sensitive to the noise. Therefore, over-fitting is not an issue for them when the number 528 529 of observations is big enough (i.e. at least 10 to 20 observations per parameter (Harrell, 2014)). The 530 exceptions were Ah, Fn and Fg, for which values were estimated more accurately by the XGB, ANNs 531 and RF, especially Fg where the RMSE of RF and CLR for Fg was 28.91 versus 33.92 respectively). Tukey's HSD test for the mean RMSE values of Fg confirmed that the XGB, ANNs and RF significantly 532 533 better results, while, like all other fluxes and drivers, the FBP was the worst algorithm (Table 9). Yet, 534 according to the same test for the other drivers, there was no significant difference between the 535 algorithms, other than the FBP, which provided the most significant mean values of the RMSE (results not shown). Importantly, though, none of the algorithms offered adequate estimations for soil 536 537 moisture (Sws), particularly in drier regions. This weak performance happened because Sws changes dramatically during rainfall in a pulsed manner often from zero to saturation in short space of time, 538

whereas, the algorithms had been trained based on the datasets mostly reflecting non-rainy periods. 539 These datasets, consequently, could not fit the algorithms in a way that they could estimate Sws 540 541 accurately when precipitation occurs and the soil moisture increases dramatically. For instance, in a 542 wet region like Tumbarumba, where the soil faces rainy days frequently, the time series are much less spikey. Thus, the overall performance was better in these regions than the drier ones (e.g. R^2 of 0.45 543 and 0.26 on average for Tumbarumba and Calperum, respectively). In addition, the dataset used to 544 gap-fill the soil moisture was a model derivation from gridded data or regional reanalysis and 545 546 therefore, may not close to reality. Another challenge of estimating soil moisture comes from the low 547 spatial coherence of soil moisture is that it can be extremely different just a couple of hundred metres 548 away, due to storms, topography, soil structure heterogeneity, etc. (Reichle et al., 2004; Sahoo et al., 549 2008).

550

Table 9. The average amounts of RMSE for Fg gap-filling based on the algorithms, using the Tukey's HSD test at the level of 5
per cent.

Mean RMSE	
28.91	
29.19	
29.58	
31.46	
33.92	
33.93	
34.09	
39.10	

553

554 4. Discussion

555

556

557 Nine gap-filling algorithms were used in this study: Extreme Gradient Boost as XGB, Random 558 Forest Algorithm as RF, Artificial Neural Networks as ANNs, Marginal Distribution Sampling as 559 MDS, Support Vector Regression as SVR, Classical Linear Regression as CLR, panel data as PD, Elastic net regularisation as ELN, and The Prophet Forecasting Model as FBP. All algorithms performed 560 561 similarly in estimating the meteorological and environmental drivers (turbulent fluxes included) across all stations, except the FBP, which performed poorly for it did not use any ancillary data. The 562 563 best results were achieved for the 30-day gaps and shorter, while the worst results obtained for the most extended windows, 180 and 365 days. Although most of the algorithms performed almost 564 565 equally well in estimating meteorological and environmental drivers, the linear algorithms (CLR, ELN 566 and PD) performed slightly better, though not significantly using Tukey's HSD test. The only apparent 567 exception was Fg, for which the RF provided more accurate and robust estimations. The ML algorithms and MDS, on the other hand, showed their superiority over the linear algorithms while 568 569 estimating the main fluxes, Fc, Fe and Fh. For Fc, the XGB, RF and ANNs performed significantly

better than the FBP and all linear algorithms (i.e. the CLR, PD and ELN, yet, followed closely by the
SVR and MDS). The superiority of the ML algorithms and their intimate performance agreed with the
results of previous researchers (Falge et al., 2001; Moffat et al., 2007), who showed the superiority of
non-linear algorithms and no significant difference amongst the top algorithms in estimating Fc.
Besides, the slight superiorities of XGB and RF over ANNs, our results confirm that RF performs better
for EC flux gap-filling, as noted by Kim et al. (2020) for methane.

576 The XGB was the most novel ML algorithm used in this research and based on the most performance metrics provided comparatively robust results in estimating the fluxes. In estimating the 577 578 meteorological drivers though, the XGB did not show any superiority over the other algorithms, 579 especially the linear ones. Moreover, the XGB needed four to six times longer time to be trained and tuned, making it a less feasible algorithm when time or the processing power are important factors or 580 several years of data are needed to be gap-filled. Hence, we do not recommend the XGB as an 581 582 alternative to the current standard algorithms. Nevertheless, because of its local superiorities, this 583 algorithm might be suitable to use in an ensemble model alongside the algorithms with different 584 weaknesses.

The RF was the best all-around algorithm amongst the nine algorithms used in this study, providing the best consistent and robust estimates of the fluxes (similar to XGB) but also being less complicated and performing faster than the XGB. The RF also provided the best results for Fg, where the linear algorithms did not perform well. This superiority of RF over ANNs, MDS, and SVR has been shown previously by Kim et al. (2020) for gap-filling of methane, showing that it is worth testing the RF for other towers, and fluxes across the FLUXNET.

591 The ANNs estimated the fluxes better than the linear algorithms, notably for Fc, yet not as 592 robust as the XGB and RF in general. For Fc and Fh, the ANNs provided bias, mainly due to overestimating minimum values when the window lengths were longer than 30 days. However, since 593 594 the superiority of the XGB and RF was not considerable, it is difficult at this point to suggest using 595 XGB or RF as better alternatives. That is because the utility of ANNs have been validated for a long 596 time in different locations and considered as one of the most reliable algorithms in the field for more 597 than a decade (Aubinet et al., 2012; Hagen et al., 2006; Kunwor et al., 2017; Moffat et al., 2007). In other words, the superiority of RF, should be assessed in several future studies to convince the network to 598 599 suggest RF instead of ANNs, or identify it as another standard gap-filling method. Furthermore, there 600 are a wide variety of different ANNs algorithms used in the field (Beringer et al., 2016b; Hagen et al., 601 2006; Isaac et al., 2017; Kunwor et al., 2017; Moffat et al., 2007), and the minor superiority of RF and 602 XGB cannot be generalised without additional case studies. As such, we suggest other researchers to 603 use the RF, especially for Fh and Fc alongside the ANNs to find out which one performs better in the challenging scenarios (e.g. when the gaps are long). Another option is to develop ensemble models to 604 improve the results over a single algorithm (Moffat et al., 2007). Ideally, a model with a higher level 605 606 of flexibility is required in the field (Hagen et al., 2006; Kunwor et al., 2017; Richardson and Hollinger, 607 2007). Finally, the ANNs, like the other ML algorithms, did not show a consistent superiority over the 608 linear algorithms regarding the environmental drivers. Therefore, we do not recommend using ML algorithms in such scenarios, except for Fg, for which RF seems to be a better option. 609

The MDS performed close to, yet not as well as the XGB, RF, and ANNS in gap-filling the fluxes. Its performance was close to the SVR, but was more reliable for Fe and Fh. It is worth mentioning that this performance was achieved despite the MDS using fewer input features. Its performance, however, was comparable with the ML algorithms, particularly when the gap lengths were relatively shorter (equal to or smaller than 10 days). As such, we recommend using the MDS when the gaps are not long or the available input features are limited, especially considering that the MDS performs significantly faster than the ML algorithms, and is easier to use.

The SVR showed consistent inferiority over the other ML algorithms and did not fulfill our expectations, neither for the meteorological drivers nor for the major fluxes. The only strength of the SVR was that it captured the extreme values better than any other algorithm. However, because of the larger RMSE the mentioned advantage seems to be achieved suspiciously and might have occurred due to over-fitting. This dubious performance shows the SVR is perhaps more vulnerable to the over-fitting issues regarding these data types. Hence, we suggest the SVR not to be used in environmental modelling related to the reviewed drivers and fluxes, whatsoever.

The CLR, the simplest algorithm used in this research, provided a comparatively acceptable performance in estimating the meteorological drivers, except for Fg. This algorithm, however, did not perform well in assessing the fluxes, especially Fc, mainly because of its inability to capture the extreme values caused by the non-linear nature of Fc to its drivers. Overall, considering the CLR simplicity, resource-saving and robust performance for drivers, this algorithm seems to be the most suitable way to fill the gaps of meteorological parameters in similar scenarios, where the same ancillary dataset are available.

631 The PD performed slightly better than the CLR, yet it did not show a significant superiority over 632 the other linear algorithms used in the research. This unforeseen weak performance can be explained due to a couple of reasons. First, one of the assumptions of using the PD is that the cross-sections' 633 634 behaviour (here towers) is similarly under the similar conditions (the independent variables), and the only thing leads to the difference is the specific characteristics of each individual cross-section. 635 Contrariwise, it seems that the five towers selected in this research violated this assumption due to 636 637 them being in widely different ecosystems. Based on the previous studies in which the PD performed well (Izady et al., 2013, 2016; Mahabbati et al., 2017), it appears that a decent level of homogeneity is 638 639 vital for the PD to perform satisfactorily. As in all previous cases, the cross-sections ecosystem had 640 significant similarities, and the distance between them was smaller. Therefore, the characteristics of 641 cross-sections, such as radiation, climate, rainfall, etc. had considerably more remarkable similarity 642 and homogeneity compared with the towers used in this research. Finally, it is worth mentioning that 643 PD has been commonly used to analyse the time series with a time resolution of weekly or longer, with some exceptions using daily time steps. In this research, the data resolution was half-hourly 644 645 instead, which dramatically increased the computational demands of the algorithm, led to days of processing for a single run. This demand happened because the algorithm creates a dummy variable 646 647 for each time step and the relevant matrix of variables becomes too large to compute by a regular PC. Considering the computational expense of this algorithm, we recommend other researches not to use 648 PD when the time resolution is shorter than daily. Despite the limitation, we still encourage further 649

use of PD whenever there is a decent homogeneity level amongst the cross-sections and the timeresolution is daily or longer.

As a hybrid linear model, the ELN did not show any superiority over the CLR, despite its modifications to provide more accurate estimations. Even though ELN performed well in estimating the drivers with slight supremacy on some occasions (e.g. Fld, the CLR is a more proper algorithm to choose for gap-filling the drivers due to its simplicity and less calculation requirement).

The FBP was a unique algorithm used in this research, as it did not use any independent variables to estimate the values of drivers and fluxes. The FBP performance was the least satisfactory of all the algorithms. Therefore, FBP cannot be considered as a reliable alternative for current algorithms to fill the gaps, especially longer ones.

660 Given that some of the environmental drivers that affect Fc are different during the day versus night, separating the diurnal and nocturnal datasets to train the algorithms could improve the 661 662 outcome. Mainly because of the u* threshold filtering and other problems associated with the 663 nocturnal period, the portion of diurnal data is generally, by far, outweighs the nocturnal data portion, 664 which potentially leads to a bias in the algorithm. The same challenge is associated with soil moisture 665 estimation, as the behaviour of the system's behaviour on sunny days is utterly different from during the rainy periods. Moreover, the system memory and the antecedent condition are undeniable features 666 associated with soil moisture (Ogle et al., 2015). Therefore, using models that can address these 667 668 considerations are more likely to improve the estimations.

Finally, it is noteworthy that some of the flux drivers used in this study as input features for the gap-filling algorithms are not commonly used or might not globally be available. However, considering that similar relative performance has been achieved in other researches for which different sets of input features had been used (Kim et al., 2020), the relative performance of the algorithms reviewed in this research should generally provide similar relative performance while using different input features.

675 5. Conclusions

676 Eight different gap-filling algorithms for estimating 16 meteorological drivers as well as nine 677 algorithms for the three key ecosystem turbulent fluxes (sensible heat flux (Fh), latent heat flux (Fe), 678 and net carbon flux (Fc)) were investigated, and their performance evaluated based on the datasets of 679 five towers in Australia. Overall, three ML algorithms, XGB, RF and ANNs, performed nearly equally 680 well and significantly better than their linear rivals (the CLR, PD, and ELN) in estimating the flux 681 values. However, the linear algorithms performed almost equally well as the ML algorithms in 682 assessing the meteorological drivers. Amongst these nine algorithms, the RF and XGB showed the 683 highest level of robustness and reliability in estimating the Fc, Fe, and Fh. The PD was expected to 684 perform better than the linear methods, and it was hoped to compete with the ML algorithms in estimating the fluxes, but it failed to do so. The SVR was the only ML algorithm that did not perform 685 686 at the same level as the rest ML algorithms that we suspect were due to over-fitting issues, while the 687 MDS performed somewhere in between. Considering the outcomes of previous research undertaken in the OzFlux Network (e.g. (Cleverly et al., 2013; Isaac et al., 2017)), none of the ML algorithms used 688

- 689 in this research was proven to provide substantially better flux estimations compared with the
- 690 standard method (ANNs). Nonetheless, amongst the algorithms tested in this research, the RF showed
- 691 potential capabilities as an alternative due to its more consistent performance regarding the long gaps.
- 692 Finally, we recommend suggestions below to improve the results for similar prospective researchers,
- as well as the QC and gap-filling procedure for flux networks:
- 694 1) Since the RF was more consistent than its competitors, including the ANNs, we suggest it is a good
 695 idea to use RF alongside the commonly used algorithms in challenging scenarios, such as long gaps,
 696 to figure out whether this superiority can be generalised.
- 2) It appears that even after three levels of quality control process done by the flux processing software
 (e.g. PyFluxPro), the data is still quite noisy. These noisy data are an essential source of both
 uncertainty and inaccuracy of the outcome, regardless of the algorithm used to gap-fill the data. As a
 result, another level of quality control methods, such as Wavelets or Matrix Factorisation, in addition
 to the current classical ones used by the PyFluxPro and other similar platforms, can probably improve
- the data quality and thereby improve the final imputation results.
- 3) For future researchers, using recurrent neural networks (RNNs) instead of feedforward neural networks (FFNN) could improve the estimations. That is likely because RNNs help the model to consider temporal dynamic behaviour of time series, as unlike FFNN, wherein the activations flow only from the input layer to the output layer, RNNs also have neuron connections pointing backwards (Géron, 2019). There is a demand for an algorithm capable of considering time has been mentioned in previous research as one of the reasons why testing the new algorithms is needed (Richardson and Hollinger, 2007).
- 4) Developing ensemble models using algorithms with different weaknesses and strengths may alsoenhance the results where a single algorithm shows performance deficiency.
- 712

713 6. Data availability

714 The data were used in this research are available through the following sources: The L3 and L4 715 data are accessible from the OzFlux data portal (http://data.ozflux.org.au/portal). Current ACCESS-R 716 and data are available from the BoM OPeNDAP server (https://www.opendap.org/). Likewise, the data coming from the BoM AWS are accessible from (http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data). Lastly, 717 718 BIOS2 accessible from the data are the ECMWF datasets portal 719 (https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets). All data used in this research are available in this 720 repository address: (https://research-repository.uwa.edu.au/en/datasets/a-comparison-of-gap-filling-721 algorithms-for-eddy-covariance-fluxes); DOI: 10.26182/5f292ee80a0c0.

722

- 723 *Author contributions.* The ideas for this study originated in discussions with A. Mahabbati, J. Beringer,
- and M. Leopold. A. Mahabbati carried out the analysis, supported by I. McHugh and P. Isaac. The
- 725 paper was prepared with contributions from all authors.
- 726

- 727 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 728

729 Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge the Terrestrial Ecosystems Research

730 Network (TERN) (<u>www.tern.gov.au</u>) and the OzFlux Network as a part of TERN for supporting the

731 grants and providing the required data, respectively. A. Mahabbati also personally thanks Prajwal

732Kalfe, Caroline Johnson and Cacilia Ewenz for their support regarding Python programming, English

- 733 academic writing and PyFluxPro technical issues.
- 734
- 735

736 **References**

- Allison, P. D.: Multiple Imputation for Missing Data: A Cautionary Tale, Sociol. Methods Res., 28(3), 301–309, doi:10.1177/0049124100028003003, 2000.
- 739 Altman, D. G. and Bland, J. M.: Missing data, Br. Med. J., 334(7590), 424, doi:10.1136/bmj.38977.682025.2C, 2007.
- Aubinet, M., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Rannik, Ü., Moncrieff, J., Foken, T., Kowalski, A. S., Martin, P. H., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C.,
 Clement, R., Elbers, J., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Morgenstern, K., Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Snijders, W., Valentini, R. and

741 Clement, R., Elbers, J., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Morgenstern, K., Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Snijders, W., Valentini, R. and
742 Vesala, T.: Estimates of the Annual Net Carbon and Water Exchange of Forests: The EUROFLUX Methodology, Adv. Ecol. Res.,
743 30, 113–175, doi:10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60018-5, 1999.

- Aubinet, M., Vesala, T. and Papale, D.: Eddy Covariance: A Practical Guide to Measurement and Data Analysis., 2012.
- 745 Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis, K., Evans, R., Fuentes, J.,
- Goldstein, A., Katul, G., Law, B., Lee, X., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Munger, W., Oechel, W., Paw, U. K. T., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H.
- 747 P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K. and Wofsy, S.: FLUXNET: A New Tool to Study the Temporal and Spatial

Variability of Ecosystem-Scale Carbon Dioxide, Water Vapor, and Energy Flux Densities, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 82(11), 2415–2434, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2, 2001.

- 750 Baltagi, B.: Econometric analysis of panel data, [online] Available from: http://www.sidalc.net/cgi-
- bin/wxis.exe/?IsisScript=book2.xis&method=post&formato=2&cantidad=1&expresion=mfn=001143 (Accessed 13 March 2018),
 1995.
- Barr, A. G., Black, T. A., Hogg, E. H., Kljun, N., Morgenstern, K. and Nesic, Z.: Inter-annual variability in the leaf area index of a boreal aspen-hazelnut forest in relation to net ecosystem production, Agric. For. Meteorol., 126(3–4), 237–255, doi:10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2004.06.011, 2004.
- Barr, A. G., Richardson, A. D., Hollinger, D. Y., Papale, D., Arain, M. A., Black, T. A., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Fischer, M. L., Gu, L., Law, B. E., Margolis, H. A., Mccaughey, J. H., Munger, J. W., Oechel, W. and Schaeffer, K.: Use of change-point detection for friction-velocity threshold evaluation in eddy-covariance studies, Agric. For. Meteorol., 171–172, 31–45, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.11.023, 2013.
- Bennett, N. D., Croke, B. F. W., Guariso, G., Guillaume, J. H. A., Hamilton, S. H., Jakeman, A. J., Marsili-Libelli, S., Newham, L. T.
 H., Norton, J. P., Perrin, C., Pierce, S. A., Robson, B., Seppelt, R., Voinov, A. A., Fath, B. D. and Andreassian, V.: Characterising performance of environmental models, Environ. Model. Softw., 40, 1–20, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.09.011, 2013.
- P63 Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., McHugh, I., Arndt, S. K., Campbell, D., Cleugh, H. A., Cleverly, J., De Dios, V. R., Eamus, D., Evans, B.,
 P64 Ewenz, C., Grace, P., Griebel, A., Haverd, V., Hinko-Najera, N., Huete, A., Isaac, P., Kanniah, K., Leuning, R., Liddell, M. J.,
 P65 MacFarlane, C., Meyer, W., Moore, C., Pendall, E., Phillips, A., Phillips, R. L., Prober, S. M., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Rutledge, S.,
 Schroder, I., Silberstein, R., Southall, P., Sun Yee, M., Tapper, N. J., Van Gorsel, E., Vote, C., Walker, J. and Wardlaw, T.: An
 P67 introduction to the Australian and New Zealand flux tower network OzFlux, Biogeosciences, 13(21), 5895–5916, doi:10.5194/bgP68 13-5895-2016, 2016a.
- 769 Beringer, J., McHugh, I. and KLJUN, N.: Dynamic INtegrated Gap filling and partitioning for Ozflux (DINGO), Biogeosciences
 770 Discuss., OzFlux spe(In prep), 1457–1460, doi:doi:10.5194/bg-2016-188, 2016b.
- Beringer, J., McHugh, I., Hutley, L. B., Isaac, P. and Kljun, N.: Technical note: Dynamic INtegrated Gap-filling and partitioning for
 OzFlux (DINGO), Biogeosciences, 14(6), 1457–1460, doi:10.5194/bg-14-1457-2017, 2017.

- Burba, G. and Anderson, D.: A brief practical guide to eddy covariance flux measurements: principles and workflow examples for
 scientific and industrial applications. [online] Available from:
- https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=mCsI1_8GdrIC&oi=fnd&pg=PA6&dq=A+Brief+Practical+Guide+to+Eddy+Covaria
 nce+Flux+Measurements&ots=TKTg25Yq5X&sig=eBYc819N7Jh3gNhJInfEL1e40eM (Accessed 11 February 2020), 2010.
- Chen, T. and Guestrin, C.: XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system, Proc. ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowl. Discov. Data Min., 13 17-Augu, 785–794, doi:10.1145/2939672.2939785, 2016.
- Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Villalobos-Vega, R., Grant, N., Faux, R., Wood, C., Cook, P. G., Yu, Q., Leigh, A. and Eamus, D.: Dynamics of component carbon fluxes in a semi-arid *Acacia* woodland, central Australia, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 118(3), 1168–1185, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20101, 2013.
- 782 Devore, J. L.: Probability and Statistics for Engineering and the Sciences., Biometrics, 47(4), 1638, doi:10.2307/2532427, 1991.
- 783 Dragoni, D., Schmid, H. P., Grimmond, C. S. B. and Loescher, H. W.: Uncertainty of annual net ecosystem productivity estimated using eddy covariance flux measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 112(D17), D17102, doi:10.1029/2006JD008149, 2007.
- 785 Dreyfus, S. E.: Artificial neural networks, back propagation, and the kelley-bryson gradient procedure, J. Guid. Control. Dyn., 13(5), 926–928, doi:10.2514/3.25422, 1990.
- 787 Drucker, H., Surges, C. J. C., Kaufman, L., Smola, A. and Vapnik, V.: Support vector regression machines, in Advances in Neural 788 Information Processing Systems, vol. 1, pp. 155–161., 1997.
- Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Ceulemans, R., Clement, R., Dolman, H.,
 Granier, A., Gross, P., Grünwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jensen, N. O., Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C. T., Law, B. E.,
 Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E., Munger, J. W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, Ü., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K.,
 Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K. and Wofsy, S.: Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange,
 Agric. For. Meteorol., 107(1), 43–69, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00225-2, 2001.
- Farley, B. G. and Clark, W. A.: Simulation of self-organizing systems by digital computer, IRE Prof. Gr. Inf. Theory, 4(4), 76–84, doi:10.1109/TIT.1954.1057468, 1954.
- Freedman, D. A.: Statistical Models: Theory and Practice. Cambridge University Press 2nd edition. [online] Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/au/academic/subjects/statistics-probability/statistical-theory-and-methods/statistical-models-theoryand-practice-2nd-edition?format=PB (Accessed 21 March 2020), 2009.
- 799 Friedman, J. H.: Stochastic gradient boosting, Comput. Stat. Data Anal., 38(4), 367–378, doi:10.1016/S0167-9473(01)00065-2, 2002.
- Gani, A., Mohammadi, K., Shamshirband, S., Altameem, T. A., Petković, D. and Ch, S.: A combined method to estimate wind speed distribution based on integrating the support vector machine with firefly algorithm, Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy, 35(3), 867–802
 875, doi:10.1002/ep.12262, 2016.
- 803 Géron, A.: Hands-on machine learning with Scikit-Learn and TensorFlow: concepts, tools, and techniques to build intelligent
 804 systems. [online] Available from:
- 805 https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=HHetDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=hands-
- 806 on+machine+learning+with+&ots=0KvfZqlgOo&sig=5tH2IHRsUaTMTy6CfQ6lw3UDKa4 (Accessed 7 February 2020), 2019.
- Hagen, S. C., Braswell, B. H., Linder, E., Frolking, S., Richardson, A. D. and Hollinger, D. Y.: Statistical uncertainty of eddy flux Based estimates of gross ecosystem carbon exchange at Howland Forest, Maine, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111(8), 1–12,
 doi:10.1029/2005JD006154, 2006.
- Harrell, F. E.: Regression Modeling Strategies: With Applications to Linear Models, Logistic, in books.google.nl. [online] Available
 from:
- 812 https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=94RgCgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=regression+modeling+strategies+frank
- +harrell&ots=ZAt4RsaS1r&sig=mikE1s9G4IXzqZKEie-iVA9GTV0&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=regression modeling strategies
 frank harrell&f=false (Accessed 11 February 2020), 2014.
- 815 Harvey, A. C. and Peters, S.: Estimation procedures for structural time series models, J. Forecast., 9(2), 89–108,
 816 doi:10.1002/for.3980090203, 1990.
- Haverd, V., Briggs, P., Trudinger, C., Nieradzik, L. and Canadell, P.: BIOS2 Frontier Modelling of the Australian Carbon and
 Water Cycles, 2015.
- 819 Ho, T. K.: Random decision forests, Proc. Int. Conf. Doc. Anal. Recognition, ICDAR, 1, 278–282, doi:10.1109/ICDAR.1995.598994,

- **820** 1995.
- 821 Ho, T. K.: 00709601.Pdf, , 20(8), 832–844, 1998.
- Hollinger, D. Y., Goltz, S. M., Davidson, E. A., Lee, J. T., Tu, K. and Valentine, H. T.: Seasonal patterns and environmental control of carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange in an ecotonal boreal forest, Glob. Chang. Biol., 5(8), 891–902, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00281.x, 1999.
- Hsiao, C., Hashem Pesaran, M. and Kamil Tahmiscioglu, A.: Maximum likelihood estimation of fixed effects dynamic panel data
 models covering short time periods, J. Econom., 109(1), 107–150, doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(01)00143-9, 2002.
- Hui, D., Wan, S., Su, B., Katul, G., Monson, R. and Luo, Y.: Gap-filling missing data in eddy covariance measurements using
 multiple imputation (MI) for annual estimations, Agric. For. Meteorol., 121(1–2), 93–111, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(03)00158-8, 2004.
- Hutley, L. B., Leuning, R., Beringer, J. and Cleugh, H. a: The utility of the eddy covariance technique as a tool in carbon accounting:
 tropical savanna as a case study, Aust. J. Bot., 53, 663–675, 2005.
- Isaac, P., Cleverly, J., McHugh, I., Van Gorsel, E., Ewenz, C. and Beringer, J.: OzFlux data: Network integration from collection to curation, Biogeosciences, 14(12), 2903–2928, doi:10.5194/bg-14-2903-2017, 2017.
- Izady, A., Davary, K., Alizadeh, A., Moghaddam Nia, A., Ziaei, A. N. and Hasheminia, S. M.: Application of NN-ARX Model to
 Predict Groundwater Levels in the Neishaboor Plain, Iran, Water Resour. Manag., 27(14), 4773–4794, doi:10.1007/s11269-013-0432 y, 2013.
- Izady, A., Abdalla, O. and Mahabbati, A.: Dynamic panel-data-based groundwater level prediction and decomposition in an arid
 hardrock-alluvium aquifer, Environ. Earth Sci., 75(18), 1–13, doi:10.1007/s12665-016-6059-6, 2016.
- Berome H. Friedman: Greedy Function Approximation: A Gradient Boosting Machine on JSTOR, Ann. Stat., 29, 1189–1232 [online]
 Available from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2699986?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents (Accessed 27 August 2019), 2001.
- Kang, H.: The prevention and handling of the missing data, Korean J. Anesthesiol., 64(5), 402–406, doi:10.4097/kjae.2013.64.5.402,
 2013.
- Kim, Y., Johnson, M. S., Knox, S. H., Black, T. A., Dalmagro, H. J., Kang, M., Kim, J. and Baldocchi, D.: Gap-filling approaches for eddy covariance methane fluxes: A comparison of three machine learning algorithms and a traditional method with principal component analysis, Glob. Chang. Biol., 26(3), 1499–1518, doi:10.1111/gcb.14845, 2020.
- Kock, N. and Gaskins, L.: Simpson's paradox, moderation and the emergence of quadratic relationships in path models: an
 information systems illustration, Int. J. Appl. Nonlinear Sci., 2(3), 200, doi:10.1504/ijans.2016.077025, 2016.
- Kunwor, S., Starr, G., Loescher, H. W. and Staudhammer, C. L.: Preserving the variance in imputed eddy-covariance measurements:
 Alternative methods for defensible gap filling, Agric. For. Meteorol., 232, 635–649, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.10.018, 2017.
- Law, B. E., Falge, E., Gu, L., Baldocchi, D. D., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Davis, K., Dolman, A. J., Falk, M., Fuentes, J. D., Goldstein,
 A., Granier, A., Grelle, A., Hollinger, D., Janssens, I. A., Jarvis, P., Jensen, N. O., Katul, G., Mahli, Y., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T.,
 Monson, R., Munger, W., Oechel, W., Olson, R., Pilegaard, K., Paw U H, K. T., Thorgeirsson, H., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala,
 T., Wilson, K. and Wofsy, S.: Jourassess2, Agric. For. Meteorol., 113(113), 97–120, 2002.
- Lee, X., Fuentes, J. D., Staebler, R. M. and Neumann, H. H.: Long-term observation of the atmospheric exchange of CO2 with a temperate deciduous forest in southern Ontario, Canada, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D13), 15975–15984, doi:10.1029/1999[D900227, 1999.
- Little, R. J. A.: Statistical analysis with missing data, 2nd ed., edited by D. B. Rubin, Wiley, Hoboken, N.J., 2002.
- Mahabbati, A., Izady, A., Mousavi Baygi, M., Davary, K. and Hasheminia, S. M.: Daily soil temperature modeling using 'panel-data' concept, J. Appl. Stat., 44(8), 1385–1401, doi:10.1080/02664763.2016.1214240, 2017.
- Menzer, O., Moffat, A. M., Meiring, W., Lasslop, G., Schukat-Talamazzini, E. G. and Reichstein, M.: Random errors in carbon and
 water vapor fluxes assessed with Gaussian Processes, Agric. For. Meteorol., 178–179, 161–172, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.04.024,
 2013.
- Moffat, A. M., Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Hollinger, D. Y., Richardson, A. D., Barr, A. G., Beckstein, C., Braswell, B. H., Churkina, G.,
 Desai, A. R., Falge, E., Gove, J. H., Heimann, M., Hui, D., Jarvis, A. J., Kattge, J., Noormets, A. and Stauch, V. J.: Comprehensive
 comparison of gap-filling techniques for eddy covariance net carbon fluxes, Agric. For. Meteorol., 147(3–4), 209–232,

- doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.08.011, 2007.
- Molenberghs, G., Fitzmaurice, G., Kenward, M. G., Tsiatis, A., Verbeke, G., Fitzmaurice, G., Kenward, M. G., Tsiatis, A. and
 Verbeke, G.: Handbook of Missing Data Methodology, Chapman and Hall/CRC., 2014.
- 868 Ogle, K., Barber, J. J., Barron-Gafford, G. A., Bentley, L. P., Young, J. M., Huxman, T. E., Loik, M. E. and Tissue, D. T.: Quantifying
 869 ecological memory in plant and ecosystem processes, Ecol. Lett., 18(3), 221–235, doi:10.1111/ele.12399, 2015.
- Papale, D. and Valentini, R.: A new assessment of European forests carbon exchanges by eddy fluxes and artificial neural network
 spatialization, Glob. Chang. Biol., 9(4), 525–535, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00609.x, 2003.
- Pilegaard, K., Hummelshøj, P., Jensen, N. O. and Chen, Z.: Two years of continuous CO2 eddy-flux measurements over a Danish
 beech forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., 107(1), 29–41, doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00227-6, 2001.
- 874 Reichle, R. H., Koster, R. D., Dong, J. and Berg, A. A.: Global soil moisture from satellite observations, land surface models, and ground data: Implications for data assimilation, J. Hydrometeorol., 5(3), 430–442, doi:10.1175/1525876 7541(2004)005<0430:GSMFSO>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- Richardson, A. D. and Hollinger, D. Y.: A method to estimate the additional uncertainty in gap-filled NEE resulting from long gaps
 in the CO2 flux record, Agric. For. Meteorol., 147(3–4), 199–208, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.06.004, 2007.
- 879 Richardson, A. D., Braswell, B. H., Hollinger, D. Y., Burman, P., Davidson, E. A., Evans, R. S., Flanagan, L. B., Munger, J. W., Savage,
 880 K., Urbanski, S. P. and Wofsy, S. C.: Comparing simple respiration models for eddy flux and dynamic chamber data, Agric. For.
 881 Meteorol., 141(2–4), 219–234, doi:10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2006.10.010, 2006.
- Richardson, A. D., Aubinet, M., Barr, A. G., Hollinger, D. Y., Ibrom, A., Lasslop, G. and Reichstein, M.: Uncertainty Quantification, in Eddy Covariance, pp. 173–209., 2012.
- Sahoo, A. K., Dirmeyer, P. A., Houser, P. R. and Kafatos, M.: A study of land surface processes using land surface models over the
 Little River Experimental Watershed, Georgia, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(20), doi:10.1029/2007JD009671, 2008.
- Scanlon, T. M. and Kustas, W. P.: Partitioning carbon dioxide and water vapor fluxes using correlation analysis, Agric. For.
 Meteorol., 150(1), 89–99, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.09.005, 2010.
- Scanlon, T. M. and Sahu, P.: On the correlation structure of water vapor and carbon dioxide in the atmospheric surface layer: A basis for flux partitioning, Water Resour. Res., 44(10), doi:10.1029/2008WR006932, 2008.
- Staebler, M.: Long-term observation of the atmospheric exchange of CO2 with a temperate deciduous forest in southern Ontario,
 Canada ecosystem (net ecosystem production turbulence is turbulent, Data Process., 104, 975–984, 1999.
- Tannenbaum, C. E.: The empirical nature and statistical treatment of missing data., Diss. Abstr. Int. Sect. A Humanit. Soc. Sci., 70(10-A), 3825 [online] Available from: http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-
- 2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:dissertation&res_dat=xri:pqdiss&rft_dat=xri:pqdiss:3381876%5Cnhttp://ovidsp.ovid.com/o
 vidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc7&NEWS=N&AN=2010-99071-044, 2010.
- 896 Taylor, S. J. and Letham, B.: Business Time Series Forecasting at Scale, , doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.3190v2, 2017.
- 897 Taylor, S. J. and Letham, B.: Forecasting at Scale, Am. Stat., 72(1), 37–45, doi:10.1080/00031305.2017.1380080, 2018.
- Tenhunen, J. D., Valentini, R., Köstner, B., Zimmermann, R. and Granier, A.: Variation in forest gas exchange at landscape to continental scales, Ann. des Sci. For., 55(1–2), 1–11, doi:10.1051/forest:19980101, 1998.
- 900 Wooldridge, J. M.: Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data., 2008.
- 901 Ye, J., Chow, J.-H., Chen, J. and Zheng, Z.: Stochastic gradient boosted distributed decision trees, in Proceeding of the 18th ACM
 902 conference on Information and knowledge management CIKM '09, p. 2061, ACM Press, New York, New York, USA., 2009.
- 203 Zhao, X. and Huang, Y.: A comparison of three gap filling techniques for eddy covariance net carbon fluxes in short vegetation
 904 ecosystems, Adv. Meteorol., 2015, 1–12, doi:10.1155/2015/260580, 2015.
- **905** Zou, H. and Hastie, T.: Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. [online] Available from:
- 906 https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=22250F01CC77D55C54B6BAFF4512C9E3?doi=10.1.1.124.4696&rep=rep
 907 1& type=pdf (Accessed 28 August 2019), 2005.

908