Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2020-22-RC2, 2020
© Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



GID

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Evaluation of the capacities of a field absolute quantum gravimeter (AQGB01)" by Anne-Karin Cooke et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 October 2020

The paper presents the first results of analysis of performance of AQG#B01 quantum gravimeter that is proposed as a novel field instrument for high-frequency absolute gravity monitoring experiments. The performance of the instrument was characterized by three criteria: stability (absence of instrumental drift), sensitivity in relation to other gravimeters, and ability to react to hydrogeological mass changes. The accuracy of the gravity measurements by the instrument was compared to that of state-of the art absolute gravimeter (Micro-g-LaCoste, FG5#228). The study included a number of test measurements performed in the observatory conditions (Larzac Observatory in southern France). The results of the tests are carefully described and analysed in the paper. Generally, the study demonstrates that quantum gravimeter has the performance comparable to that of state-of-the art instrument, if installed in the ideal observatory

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



GID

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



quality control during measurements is realised? How much efforts from the operator

are necessary for this? 6) The thermal stability of the instrument was tested in a very narrow range from +20C to +30C. So the question arises: how the instrument performs in real conditions, in which it is possible to have daily temperature variations up to 20 C in some cases? Moreover, is it possible to use this instrument for monitoring mass changes in glaciated areas with temperatures lower than 0 C? 7) In their observatory tests the authors were using thermal insulation of the instrument, but realisation of the insulation is not discussed. It is important to discuss how the insulation was done, in order to demonstrate that the instrument can be insulated also in real field conditions. Is it possible to reach similar temperature stability as in the observatory? 8) The authors did not provide any reference to the manufacturer. Only name Muquans is mentioned, but it is not clear from the text whether it is a company name or the name of an individual.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2020-22, 2020.

GID

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

