Dear Referees and Editor

I sincerely thank both reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions during the review process. I have extensively revised the manuscript, considering the main comments made in the first review, and I believe that these changes have clarified the scientific contribution of the manuscript.

In particular:

I recognize that NDVI is not a new feature in QGIS, and this has been clearly explained in the revised manuscript. I emphasized that The main contribution of the QSVI plugin is its ability to efficiently calculate various vegetation, chlorophyll, and thermal indices using a user-friendly interface.

The ability of the plugin to integrate lesser-known indices, such as CVI, LAI, UTFVI, and TDI, was highlighted as a key difference compared with similar tools.

This plugin is an effective tool not only for educational purposes but also for environmental monitoring and applied research.

Overall, the main contributions of this work are the computational efficiency provided by the plugin and the ease of access it offers users.

In addition, language corrections were applied to the text in terms of the journal's author guidelines, and writing that was lacking in clarity was carefully improved. These changes did not affect the scientific content and methodology of the study, but were designed to improve readability.

Thank you for your valuable contributions. Both the content and structure of my paper have improved significantly. Thank you for your interest and constructive feedback.

Kind regards,